

## **NERC Standards Grading**

**Industry Webinar** 

Scott Barfield-McGinnis, Senior Technical Advisor June 7, 2018

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY











# **Antitrust Guidelines and Public Disclaimer**

#### Antitrust Guidelines

• It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

#### Public Disclaimer

Participants are reminded that this webinar is public. Notice of the webinar was posted on the NERC website and widely distributed. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.



- Standards Committee (SC) endorsed, March 9, 2016 standards
- The Standing Review Team (SRT) is composed of:
  - SC chair
  - Operating Committee (OC) chair
  - Planning Committee (PC) chair
  - Regional Entity (RE) representative
  - NERC staff



### **Standing Review Team**

Role	Name	Entity			
Facilitator (SC)	Andrew Gallo	Austin Energy			
Member (OC)	Lloyd Linke	Entergy			
Member (PC)	Brian Evans-Mongeon	Utility Services, Inc.			
Member (RE)	Guy Zito	Northeast Power Coordinating Council			
Member (NERC Staff)	Scott Barfield-McGinnis	North American Electric Reliability Corporation			



- Standards Grading Eligibility
  - In effect one year
  - Inconsistent compliance expectations or monitoring
  - Feedback loops
- Decision-tree and grading tools
  - 2013 Independent Experts Review Panel (IERP)
  - Quality question on cost-effectiveness
- Response required on each question
- Deficiency were supported with rationale

### **Decision Tree Tool**



- Each "Yes" answer has score of 1
  - Content questions (3)
  - Quality questions (13)
    - Cost-effectiveness question not included in calculation
- Analysis
  - Average scores
  - Maximum difference
  - SRT preliminary grades



### **Color Schemes (Sample)**

2018 Standard Grading Summary													
1		Content Questions						Quality Questions					
Standar -1	Rec -	OC -	PC -	RE -	NER(-	Avg -	Delt -	OC -	PC -	RE -	NER( -	Avg -	Delt -
CIP-014-2	R1.	3	3	1	2	2.25	2	13	13	12	10	12.00	3
CIP-014-2	R2.	3	3	2	3	2.75	1	13	13	13	12	12.75	1
CIP-014-2	R3.	3	3	3	3	3.00	0	13	13	12	11	12.25	2
CIP-014-2	R4.	3	3	2	3	2.75	1	13	13	13	11	12.50	2
CIP-014-2	R5.	3	3	2	3	2.75	1	13	13	11	11	12.00	2
CIP-014-2	R6.	3	3	2	3	2.75	1	13	13	12	11	12.25	2
COM-002-4	R1.	3	2	3	3	2.75	1	13	9	13	10	11.25	4
COM-002-4	R2.	3	3	2	3	2.75	1	11	13	13	13	12.50	2
COM-002-4	R3.	3	3	1	2	2.25	2	11	13	11	13	12.00	2
COM-002-4	R4.	3	2	3	3	2.75	1	11	9	12	13	11.25	4
COM-002-4	R5.	3	3	3	3	3.00	0	11	13	13	13	12.50	2
COM-002-4	R6.	3	3	2	3	2.75	1	11	13	13	13	12.50	2
COM-002-4	R7.	3	თ	თ	3	3.00	0	11	13	13	13	12.50	2
FAC-003-4	R1.	3	З	თ	2	2.75	1	13	13	13	12	12.75	1
FAC-003-4	R2.	3	3	3	2	2.75	1	13	12	12	11	12.00	2
FAC-003-4	R3.	3	3	3	3	3.00	0	13	13	12	13	12.75	1
FAC-003-4	R4.	3	3	თ	3	3.00	0	13	13	10	13	12.25	3
FAC-003-4	R5.	3	3	3	3	3.00	0	13	13	12	13	12.75	1
FAC-003-4	R6.	3	3	3	3	3.00	0	13	13	11	13	12.50	2
FAC-003-4	R7.	3	3	3	2	2.75	1	13	13	12	13	12.75	1
FAC-013-2	R1.	3	3	3	2	2.75	1	11	13	12	13	12.25	2



- Public Meeting #1, May 1, 2018
  - Grade review
  - Focused on deltas
  - Reached a consensus grade
  - Rationale provided
- 45-day stakeholder comment period
  - Ends June 28, 2018
  - One question for each Standard
    - For XYZ Reliability Standard, do you agree with the scoring and findings of the SRT? If not, please comment on which tool question(s) the comment applies to and provide a supporting explanation.
- Focus on Requirements without consensus



- Public Meeting #2, July 19, 2018
  - Review stakeholder comments
  - Reach consensus
  - Finalized grades support 2019-2021 Reliability Standards Development Plan (RSDP)
    - The RSDP is endorsed by the SC, approved by the Board, and filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
- Results will be an input into Periodic Reviews





## **Questions and Answers**

