

Conference Call Notes

Assess Transmission Future Needs SDT — Project 2006-02

1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair brought the call to order at 11:00 a.m. EDT on Monday, March 9, 2009. Call participants were:

Bill Harm	Doug Hohlbaugh	Bob Jones
Brian Keel	Ron Mazur	Tom Mielnik
Bob Millard, Vice Chair	John Odom, Chair	Bernie Pasternack
Bob Pierce	Chifong Thomas	Jim Useldinger
Dana Walters	Ray Kershaw, Observer	Chuck Lawrence, Observer
Charles Long, Observer	Bob Snow, FERC Observer	Curt Stepanek, Observer
Ed Dobrowolski, NERC		

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines – Ed Dobrowolski

No questions were raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — John Odom

The goal of the call was to complete the SDT review of the documents needed for submittal of the third posting.

2. Report on Discussions with FERC Staff – John Odom

FERC staff has requested a meeting prior to the third posting but no date has been set for this meeting as yet. It is possible that this meeting could impact the third posting date.

3. Finalize Roadmap

The SDT reviewed the suggested addition of a requirement to cover those situations beyond the control of the planner. The sub-group looking into this matter re-used #2.7.4 for this requirement. The wording there had been previously deleted. As part of this review, Ron asked if footnote 10 needed to be included with P1 and P2. The footnote had been excluded as it was thought that Firm Transmission Service

was sold on a first Contingency basis and thus the footnote didn't apply. The SDT was divided on this matter and it was decided to leave the table as is for this posting. The SDT will wait to see if industry comments are received on this topic and react accordingly in the next posting. Manitoba Hydro will certainly be submitting comments on this item. If there is another industry webinar, this topic should be discussed.

Bob Jones had suggested some minor wording changes and the SDT accepted those changes and added curtailment of Firm Transmission Service to the list of actions. In addition, wording was included to try to make the requirement less open-ended.

Requirement R3.3.1 was made consistent with Requirement R5.3.1 and both were changed to include 'Protection System' instead of 'System protection'.

4. Finalize Second Posting Comment Report Responses

Bernie sent out some minor semantic corrections that Ed will review and incorporate as appropriate.

Chifong needs to review the question 11 responses and Doug needs to look at question 7 to see if any changes are needed due to the addition of the new R2.7.4.

AI – Ed will review Bernie's suggested changes to the comment responses and include as appropriate.

AI – Chifong will review question 11 for any changes required by the incorporation of the new R2.7.4.

AI - Doug will review question 7 for any changes required by the incorporation of the new R2.7.4.

5. Finalize Implementation Plan – Bernie Pasternack

The SDT reviewed the version that Dana had commented on.

Implementation timing exceptions were deleted for Requirements R2.4.1, R6, & R8.

Major changes were necessitated by the inclusion of the new Requirement R2.7.4.

Both TPL-005-0 & TPL-006-0 will be retired due to the NERC Rules of Procedure, Section 800.

There are new 'standard' words for the implementation date so as to recognize the situation in Canada. Ed will incorporate them into the text.

SDT members will review and comment on the revised Implementation Plan.

AI – Ed will clean up the Implementation Plan including the timeframe language for Canada.

AI – SDT members will review and comment on the revised Implementation Plan.

6. Finalize Question Set for Third Posting

Some minor changes were made but the SDT will continue to review the document and submit changes via the mail server.

AI – SDT members will review the third posting question set and submit changes through the mail server.

7. Next Steps – John Odom

Comments and suggestions should be submitted via the mail server no later than this Friday, March 13, 2009 so that the documents can be prepared for submittal to NERC staff for review. Actual posting may be affected by the meeting with FERC staff.

SDT members were asked to voice their opinions on whether another webinar is needed for the third posting.

AI – SDT members should submit their opinions on the need for another webinar in support of the third posting.

8. Next Meetings

No meetings or calls are scheduled at this time.

9. Action Items and Schedule – Ed Dobrowolski

The following action items were developed during this call. All items are to be completed no later than Friday, March 13, 2009.

- Ed will review Bernie's suggested changes to the comment responses and include as appropriate.
- Chifong will review question 11 for any changes required by the incorporation of the new R2.7.4.
- Doug will review question 7 for any changes required by the incorporation of the new R2.7.4.

- Ed will clean up the Implementation Plan including the timeframe language for Canada.
- SDT members will review and comment on the revised Implementation Plan.
- SDT members will review the third posting question set and submit changes through the mail server.
- SDT members should submit their opinions on the need for another webinar in support of the third posting.

The project continues to slip the schedule and is now approaching four months in delays.

10. Adjourn

The Chair adjourned the call at 3:15 p.m. EDT.