

## Conference Call Notes for Project 2006-02 Assess Transmission Future Needs SDT

### 1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

The call was brought to order by the Chair at 11:00 a.m. EST on Monday, January 12, 2009. Call participants were:

Darrin Church	Bill Harm	Doug Hohlbaugh	Bob Jones
Brian Keel	Ron Mazur	Tom Mielnik	Bob Millard, Vice Chair
John Odom, Chair	Bernie Pasternack	Bob Pierce	Chifong Thomas
Jim Useldinger	Dana Walters	Ray Kershaw, Observer	Chuck Lawrence, Observer
Charles Long, Observer	Hari Singh, Observer	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC	

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

No questions were raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — John Odom

The goal of the call was to finalize comment responses.

### 2. Review and Finalize Response to Industry Comments

a. Q4 — Bernie Pasternack/Jim Useldinger

No comments were supplied for the question 4 responses and this question is now closed.

b. Q7 — Doug Hohlbaugh

Doug supplied his draft question 7 responses over the weekend. The SDT had the following comments:

- NPCC — need to cite protection system issues and the SPCTF role while pointing out the separation of stuck breaker as a different category.

- Transmission Agency of Northern CA — the SDT was okay with this response.
- Tenaska — Move R3.3.2.1 to a new R2.9. Delete R3.3.2.2 but move words to note on System adjustment. This will necessitate a review of comment responses for proper requirement numbering.
- ITC—the SDT was okay with this response.
- City of Tallahassee — a correction is needed to P6. The response needs to be re-worded to emphasize footnote 10.
- Center Point — Re-word the second paragraph of the response and provide an answer on the Stability question.
- MISO — the SDT was okay with this response. This response can be used for Center Point.
- Tri-State — The SDT was okay with this response but probabilities in the table should be deleted.
- Lakeland — Strike the second sentence in the third paragraph.
- Southern — you can't use the word 'requirements' in the Table.
- ERCOT — the SDT was okay with the stuck breaker response. The protection system response needs to be correlated with the NPCC response. The SDT was okay with the response on asset owners.
- FE — Extreme Event 2e is retained from the original as it can still cause harm.

c. Q8 — Tom Mielnik

Tom had several spots where he needed the final wording on footnotes. He will use the output from today's call to finalize his responses.

d. Q10 — Bob Millard

A final clean-up is required and it should be available for distribution by the end of the week.

e. Q15 — Darrin Church

There are about 600 different issues to address in question 15. The team is about fifty percent complete with their individual work but then the answers need to be put together and correlated for consistency. A full SDT review and buy-in will be required.

### **3. Report from Sub-Team on Load Curtailment—Charles Long**

The team had a conference call with FERC staff on December 30, 2008. A draft set of footnotes was sent to the SDT for review and then used as the basis for discussion on this call. Several changes were made and distributed to the SDT via the mail server following the call. Charles, Doug, and Dana will work out the remaining details such as what to do with P1 and P2 in relation to footnote 10.

**4. Report from Sub-Team on Single Table — Doug Hohlbaugh**

The single table was supplied and incorporated into the roadmap document. Some minor changes to notes remain to be finalized.

**5. Finalize VRF and Time Horizons**

Time did not permit discussion of this item. It will be carried over to the next call.

**6. Finalize Measures**

Time did not permit discussion of this item. It will be carried over to the next call.

**7. Finalize Data Retention**

Time did not permit discussion of this item. It will be carried over to the next call.

**8. Finalize VSL**

Time did not permit discussion of this item. It will be carried over to the next call.

**9. Finalize Implementation Plan — Bernie Pasternack**

Time did not permit discussion of this item. It will be carried over to the next call.

**10. Develop Question Set for Third Posting**

Time did not permit discussion of this item. It will be carried over to the next call.

**11. Next Steps — John Odom**

The SDT will address the remaining items in the next call.

**12. Next Meetings**

- a. There will be a conference call and web ex on Thursday, January 29, 2009 from 11:00 to 3:00 EST.
- b. There will be a face to face meeting on Tuesday, February 10, 2009 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST; Wednesday, February 11, 2009 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST; and Thursday, February 12, 2009 from 8:00 am. to noon EST in Atlanta, GA.
- c. There will be a conference call and web ex on Monday, March 9, 2009 from 11:00 to 3:00 p.m. EST as needed to perform any final cleanup for the third posting.

Details for all meetings and conference calls will be supplied at a later date.

**13. Action Items and Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski**

There was no specific action items developed during this call.

The project remains behind schedule.

**14. Adjourn**

The Chair adjourned the call at 2:00 p.m. EST.