

Meeting Notes System Restoration and Blackstart SDT — Project 2006-03

August 6–8, 2008

1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair called the meeting to order at 8 a.m. PDT on Wednesday, August 6, 2008 in Burnaby, BC, Canada.

Meeting participants were:

Rod Byrnell	Steve Cooper	Francis Esselman, Vice Chair
Dick Kafka, Chair	David Mahlmann	Al McMeekin
Doug Rempel	Mike Richardson	Mo Tadayon
Nick Henery, FERC, Observer	Dede Subakti, MISO, Observer	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

No questions were raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

c. Agenda and Objectives — Dick Kafka

The goal of the meeting was to finalize the draft responses to comments and prepare the standards for the next and, hopefully, final posting.

2. Review of Draft Responses

a. Question 1 — Dick Kafka

The SDT reviewed the draft responses to question #1 prepared by Dick. Changes were made on the fly as agreed upon by the SDT.

The proposed change of ‘shut down’ to ‘de-energized’ was not accepted by the SDT. The use of de-energized here would conflict with a standing definition in use in Ontario. It would also be unacceptable in Quebec as de-energized has no French translation and the use of the term there has been forbidden.

b. Question 2 — Dick Kafka

The SDT reviewed the draft responses to question #2 prepared by Dick. Changes were made on the fly as agreed upon by the SDT.

c. Question 3 — Mark Kuras

The SDT reviewed the draft responses to question #3 prepared by Mark. Changes were made on the fly as agreed upon by the SDT.

d. Question 4 — Rick Terrill and Doug Rempel

The SDT reviewed the draft responses to question #4 prepared by Rick and Doug. Changes were made on the fly as agreed upon by the SDT.

3. Draft Changes to Standards Based on Comment Responses

The SDT changed the text of the standard as required as the comment responses were finalized.

4. Draft Changes to Implementation Plan Based on Comment Responses

Dick Kafka provided a spreadsheet where he tried to line out the implementation plan on a per requirement basis based on possible revisions pointed out by commenters. After some debate, the SDT agreed that trying to implement these standards on a per requirement basis was needlessly complex and that it provided no reliability benefit. Therefore, the SDT decided to adopt a uniform 24 month implementation period for all requirements.

5. Next Steps — Dick Kafka

Ed Dobrowolski will clean up the documents based on the changes agreed upon at this meeting and then send them out for a final team review. The SDT is going to recommend that another posting be made due to the large number of changes made to the VSL. It is hoped that any subsequent work for this next posting can be handled through conference calls and WebEx's.

The SDT wants to limit the question set for the next posting to just 2 questions: (1) The SDT has made changes to the last posting to bring the standards in line with industry comments. Do you agree with those changes? (2) Do you believe the standards are ready for ballot?

6. Next Meetings

At this time, no meetings have been scheduled.

The SDT has never had a conference with FERC staff on this project. This should take place prior to the next posting.

7. Action Items and Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski

No action items were developed during this meeting.

The project is only about 2 weeks behind schedule at this time.

8. Adjourn

The Chair thanked BCTC for their hospitality and adjourned the meeting at 10:30 a.m. PDT on Friday, August 08, 2008.