

Meeting Notes for Project 2006-03 System Restoration and Blackstart SDT

1. Administrative Items

a. Introductions and Quorum

The meeting was held in the Luminant offices in Dallas, TX. The Chair called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m. CST on Wednesday, January 21, 2009. Meeting participants were:

Ed Baznik	Rod Byrnell	Steve Cooper	Francis Esselman, Vice Chair	Will Houston
Dick Kafka, Chair	Mark Kuras	David Mahlmann	Al McMeekin	Doug Rempel
Mike Richardson	George Rodriguez	Rick Terrill	Nick Henery, FERC Observer	Dede Subakti, Observer
Ed Dobrowolski, NERC				

b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

There were no questions on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

c. Agenda and Objectives — Dick Kafka

The goal for this meeting was to review the draft responses to industry comments and while finalizing the responses, determine the changes needed to the draft standards to accommodate the comments. If possible, the SDT should try to avoid making significant changes without sufficient justification so that it will be possible to go to ballot with these standards.

2. NERC Reliability Coordinators Working Group Meeting Report — Rick Terrill

Rick attended this meeting and provided a brief overview of the comments raised after he presented an update of the SDT's work:

- The RCWG expressed their concern about the requirement for the RC to approve the TOP's plan.
- The RCWG also expressed their concern about the training requirements included in the standards especially those for field switching personnel. .

3. Disposition of Electric System Restoration Reference Document from the Operating Manual — Dick Kafka

The SDT does not want to take on the job of revising and updating this manual. In general, it was felt that the expanded requirements now contain many of the topics covered in the document and that it may not be needed. However, the SDT has no objections to the OC archiving the document.

4. Review of Draft Responses

Dick provided an overview of the comments. There were 3 main areas of concern:

- Training
- EOP-005-2, Requirement R1 was felt to be 2 different requirements. One was to have a plan and the other was to get it approved by the RC.
- RC approval of the TOP plan

Training has been a continuing complaint but the SDT continues to adhere to the mandate in Order 693 that system restoration training must be in these standards and not in PER.

EOP-005-2, Requirement R1 is not 2 separate requirements. The requirement is to have an approved plan that contains the elements in the sub-requirements.

RC approval of the TOP plan is another mandate from FERC that the SDT continues to enforce.

Other comments were semantic/grammatical or requests for clarification. The draft responses were reviewed and finalized. Changes to the standards necessitated by the responses were made on the fly.

5. Next Steps — Dick Kafka

The SDT reviewed all of the changes made to each standard and determined that no significant changes had been made due to industry comment. The changes are all deemed to be clarifications. Therefore, the SDT recommended that this project be forwarded to the SC for approval to go to balloting.

Ed Dobrowolski will clean up the response document and the 2 roadmaps and then distribute them to the SDT for final review. Once the documents are distributed, SDT members will have 2 weeks to review and comment. It is anticipated that this can be accomplished via e-mail. SDT member review should be limited to making certain that the documents properly reflect the decisions made in this meeting.

6. Next Meetings

No further meetings of this group are anticipated. A conference call will be arranged if comments on the documents can't be handled via e-mail.

The SDT is still 'on call' however in case the SC wants changes made prior to balloting. The SDT will also be involved in reviewing the final report for the BOT and FERC. The SDT will not be officially dissolved until FERC approval of the two standards.

7. Action Items and Schedule – Ed Dobrowolski

Other than the document clean-up and subsequent review, no additional action items were developed during this meeting.

The project is approximately 2 months behind schedule.

8. Adjourn

The Chair thanked Luminant for their hospitality and adjourned the meeting at 4:30 p.m. CST on Thursday, January 22, 2009.