

Standard Development Roadmap

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

Development Steps Completed:

1. SAR version 1 posted on May 15, 2007.
2. SAR version 1 comment period closed on June 13, 2007.
3. SAR version 2 posted on August 7, 2007.
4. SAR version 2 comment period closed on September 7, 2007.
5. SAR approved by SC on November 1, 2007.
6. First posting of revised standards on October 7, 2008.
7. Second posting of revised standards on April 7, 2009.
8. Third posting of revised standards on August 25, 2009.

Proposed Action Plan and Description of Current Draft:

The SDT began meeting in January 2008 following the approval of the SAR by the SC. The original schedule showed completion of the project in 4Q09. The current draft is the fourth posting of the revised standards and represents one additional posting that was not anticipated. As part of the proposed revisions, TOP-004-2, TOP-005-1, TOP-006-1, TOP-007-0, TOP-008-0, and PER-001-0 will be retired. The requirements in those standards have been eliminated or moved to other standards within this project. Some changes made in this project are dependent on corresponding changes to Project 2006-06, Reliability Coordination, being approved.

Future Development Plan:

Anticipated Actions	Anticipated Date
1. Post for ballot.	2Q10
2. Post for recirculation ballot.	TBD
3. Submit to BOT.	TBD

Definitions of Terms Used in Standard

This section includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed standard. Terms already defined in the Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms are not repeated here. New or revised definitions listed below become approved when the proposed standard is approved. When the standard becomes effective, these defined terms will be removed from the individual standard and added to the Glossary.

There are no new or revised definitions proposed in this standard revision.

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Operations Planning
2. **Number:** TOP-002-3
3. **Purpose:** To ensure that reliability entities have coordinated plans for meeting expected operating conditions.
4. **Applicability**
 - 4.1. Transmission Operator.
5. **Effective Date:** All requirements will become effective the first day of the first calendar quarter twenty-four months following applicable regulatory approval. In those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, the requirements become effective the first day of the first calendar quarter twenty-four months following Board of Trustees adoption.

Rationale for Requirement R1:

By definition, Operational Planning Analysis includes Contingency analysis.

By stating this Requirement in this manner, the SDT is stating that a Transmission Operator must have analysis tools or procedures to perform the Operational Planning Analysis (or has contracted the service). Since the Requirement does not mandate how the analysis is completed, if tools are used, the Transmission Operator must be able to complete the analysis even if those tools are not available.

B. Requirements

- R1.** Each Transmission Operator shall have an Operational Planning Analysis that represents projected System conditions. [*Violation Risk Factor: Medium*] [*Time Horizon: Operations Planning*]
- R2.** Each Transmission Operator shall plan to preclude operating in excess of those Interconnection Reliability Operating Limits (IROLs) and each SOL which, while not an IROL, has been identified by the Transmission Operator as supporting its local area reliability, identified as a result of the Operational Planning Analysis performed in Requirement R1. [*Violation Risk Factor: High*] [*Time Horizon: Operations Planning*]
- R3.** Each Transmission Operator shall notify all reliability entities identified in the plan(s) cited in Requirement R2 as to their role in those plan(s). [*Violation Risk Factor: High*] [*Time Horizon: Operations Planning*]

C. Measures

- M1.** Each Transmission Operator shall have evidence of a completed Operational Planning Analysis in accordance with Requirement R1. Such evidence could include but is not limited to dated power flow study results.
- M2.** Each Transmission Operator shall have evidence that it has planned to preclude operating in excess of the IROLs and each SOL which, while not an IROL, has been identified by the Transmission Operator as supporting its local area reliability, identified as a result of the Operational Planning Analysis performed in Requirement R1 in accordance with Requirement R2. Such evidence could include but it is not limited to plans, processes, or procedures for precluding operating in excess of each IROL and each SOL identified as a result of the Operational Planning Analysis.
- M3.** Each Transmission Operator shall have evidence that it notified all reliability entities identified in the plan(s) cited in Requirement R2 as to their role in the plan(s) in accordance with Requirement R3. Such evidence could include but is not limited to dated operator logs, voice recordings, or e-mail records.

D. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority

Regional Entity

1.2. Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Processes

Compliance Audits

Self-Certifications

Spot Checking

Compliance Violation Investigations

Self-Reporting

Complaints

1.3. Data Retention

Each Transmission Operator shall keep data or evidence to show compliance for each Requirement and Measure for a rolling six month period for analyses, the most recent three months for voice recordings, and 12 months for operating logs and e-mail records unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.

If a Transmission Operator is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant or the time period specified above, whichever is longer.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

None.

Standard TOP-002-3 — Operations Planning

2. Violation Severity Levels

R#	Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
R1	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Transmission Operator does not have an Operational Planning Analysis that represented projected System conditions.
R2	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Transmission Operator did not plan to preclude operating in excess of those IROLs and each SOL which, while not an IROL, has been identified by the Transmission Operator as supporting its local area reliability, identified as a result of the Operational Planning Analysis performed in Requirement R1.
R3	The Transmission Operator did not notify one reliability entity or 5% or less of the reliability entities whichever is less, identified in the plan(s) cited as to their role in the plan(s).	The Transmission Operator did not notify two reliability entities or more than 5% and less than or equal to 10% of the reliability entities whichever is less, identified in the plan(s) as to their role in the plan(s).	The Transmission Operator did not notify three reliability entities or more than 10% and less than or equal to 15% of the reliability entities whichever is less, identified in the plan(s) as to their role in the plan(s).	The Transmission Operator did not notify four or more reliability entities or more than 15% of the reliability entities whichever is less, identified in the plan(s) as to their role in the plan(s).

E. Regional Variances

None identified.

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
0	April 1, 2005	Effective Date	New
0	August 8, 2005	Removed “Proposed” from Effective Date	Errata
1	November 1, 2006	Adopted by Board of Trustees	Revised
2	TBD	Changes pursuant to Project 2007-03	Revised