### **Comment Form for 5th Draft of Standards for Real-Time Operations (Project 2007-03)**

Comments on the 5th draft and initial ballot of the standards for Real-Time Operations (Project 2007-03) **must be submitted by June 9, 2011.** If you have questions please contact Ed Dobrowolski at [ed.dobrowolski@nerc.net](mailto:ed.dobrowolski@nerc.net) or by telephone at 609-947-3673.

### **Background Information:**

In the 5th posting for Project 2007-03, the Real-Time Operations Standard Drafting Team (RTOSDT) has attempted to clarify the proposed changes to the TOP family of standards based on industry comments received for the 4th posting and suggestions made during the Quality Review. Changes made were:

TOP-001-2:

Update to the definition of Reliability Directive based on the efforts of Project 2006-06 which is responsible for the content of the definition

Clarification to Requirement R2 that it is an ‘identified’ Reliability Directive that is in question. Plus, the Time Horizon was adjusted to add ‘Operations Planning’.

Grammatical change to Requirement R3 changing ‘of’ to ‘by’.

Grammatical change to Requirements R5 and R6 to eliminate the use of ‘coordinate’.

Change ‘local’ area reliability to ‘internal’ area reliability in Requirement R8.

Change the VRF for Requirement R9 from High to Medium.

Clarification in Requirement R11 that 30 minutes is the timeframe for the indicated SOLs.

Deleted Requirements R12 & R13 as they will be covered in Project 2009-02.

Corresponding wording changes were made to the Measures and VSLs to match the revised wording in the Requirements.

Fix a typo in Measure M10.

Updated the Compliance Enforcement Authority language.

Fixed the language in the VSL for Requirement R3 to match the actual requirement language.

Clarify the intent of the SDT with regard to the VSL for Requirement R5.

TOP-002-3:

Adjusted the Purpose Statement.

Clarification to the rationale provided for Requirement R1.

Made grammatical changes to Requirement R2 and updated ‘local’ to ‘internal’ as per TOP-001-2.

Changed ‘reliability’ to ‘registered’ in Requirement R3.

Added ‘while not an IROL’ to Measure M2.

Made corresponding language changes to the Measures and VSLs to match the language changes in the Requirements.

Updated the Compliance Enforcement Authority language.

Clarified the intent of the SDT with regard to the VSL for Requirement R3.

TOP-003-1:

Grammatical changes to Requirement R1.

Added ‘Transmission Operator’ to Requirement R4 and eliminated Requirement R5.

Added ‘web postings’ to Measures M2 and M3.

Clarified Measure M4.

Updated the Compliance Enforcement Authority language.

Eliminated a redundancy in the VSL for Requirement R2.

**You do not have to answer all questions. Enter All Comments in Simple Text Format.**

*Insert a “check” mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.*

1. The SDT made changes to TOP-001-2 in response to industry comments and the Quality Review process. This includes all aspects of this standard – requirements, measures, and data retention. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. The SDT made changes to TOP-002-3 in response to industry comments and the Quality Review process. This includes all aspects of this standard – requirements, measures, and data retention. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. The SDT made changes to TOP-003-1 in response to industry comments and the Quality Review process. This includes all aspects of this standard – requirements, measures, and data retention. Do you agree with the changes the drafting team has made?

If you do not support these changes or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. The VRF, VSL, and Time Horizons are part of a non-binding poll. Do you support the proposed VRF. VSL and Time Horizon assignments?  
     
   If you do not support these assignments or you agree in general but feel that alternative language would be more appropriate, please provide specific suggestions in your comments.

Yes

No

Comments:

5. If you have any other comments on this Standard that you have not already provided in response to the prior questions, please provide them here.

Comments: