

## Notes

### Project 2007-03 — Real-Time Operations SDT

October 29, 2009 | 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. EDT  
Dial-in:866.740.1260 | Access Code: 9473673

Visual Access: <https://cc.readytalk.com/r/mjuwirzhal4b>

#### 1. Administrative Items

##### a. Introductions

The call was brought to order by the Chair at 0900 EDT on Thursday, October 29, 2009. Call participants were:

Paul Bleuss	Jim Case, Chair	Jason Marshall
Steve Myers	Eugene Blick, FERC Observer	Ibrahim Oweis, FERC Observer
Ed Dobrowolski, NERC		

##### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski

There were no questions on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

##### c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — Jim Case

The goal of the call was to finalize the project documentation for the next step in the process.

#### 2. Review Comment Response for Question 2 — Paul Bleuss

Paul led the group through a discussion of his draft responses for question 2. In the course of this discussion several points were raised:

- One commenter raised a question concerning the length of time that data retention was required. Paul had suggested that this value be reduced to 90 days to lighten the data retention burden. However, Jason pointed out that the measure only required retention of the results so that the real data retention wasn't that onerous. As Paul pointed out however, the results without the power flow itself (and perhaps the model) are not of much value. The SDT will need to take a second look at the measure to see if it is written correctly and then adjust the data retention accordingly.

- Another commenter asked whether the revised requirements were in accordance with the TOP-002-2a, Requirement R11 interpretation. The SDT will need to check that the revised requirements don't perpetuate the problem pointed out in the interpretation. The revised requirements should obviate the need for further interpretation.

At this point in time, several of the call participants had to depart for other assignments and several others reported technical problems with their computer systems. It was decided to terminate the call at this point and to re-schedule the call for November 6<sup>th</sup>.

**3. Finalize Comment Response**

This item will be discussed on the next conference call.

**4. Finalize Standard Revisions**

This item will be discussed on the next conference call.

**5. Finalize Implementation Plan Revisions**

This item will be discussed on the next conference call.

**6. Next Steps — Jim Case**

Jim pointed out that there will be a meeting and conference call on November 17<sup>th</sup> to discuss the Reliability Directive issue. Details will be forwarded when they become available. This meeting is in response to the Standards Committee memo on getting the 3 different drafting teams involved in this definition together to come to a mutually agreeable conclusion. The OPCSDT is running this meeting.

The definition of Reliability Directive is needed to finalize the comment responses. This also points out a potential problem – Does there need to be another comment period for industry so that they can review the TOP requirements in light of the new definition even though the actual definition comments will probably be collected by OPCSDT?

Due to the lack of participation and technical problems by others on the call, another conference call and web ex was scheduled for Friday, November 6, 2009 from 1000 to 1200 EST. Details will be sent later.

There will be a meeting and conference call with FERC staff on Thursday, December 10, 2009 in Washington, DC from 0900 to 1600 EST to discuss the open issues with this project. Details will be sent later. According to Standard Committee guidelines for these meetings, only the SDT Chair and Vice Chair are required to attend however all SDT members are invited to participate if possible.

7. **Action Items – Ed Dobrowolski**  
No action items were developed during this call.
  
8. **Adjourn**  
The call was adjourned at 1000 EDT.