

Notes

Project 2008-10

Interpretation of CIP-006-1

August 12, 2011 | 1:00–3:00 p.m. ET
Teleconference and Webinar

Administrative

1. **Participants were read the NERC Compliance Guidelines; there were no questions**
2. **Attendance and quorum**
 - a. Members: Tim Conway (Co-Chair), NIPSCO; Scott Miller (Co-Chair), MEAG; Mark Engels, Dominion; Trevor MacCrae, Southern Company Transmission; Brian Newell, American Electric Power; Clayton Stooshnoff, FortisBC, Inc.; Robert Ulmer, American Transmission Company.
 - b. Observers: Matt Davis, SERC; Amanda Mullenix, Duke Energy; Josh Sandler, Duke Energy; Megan Aikman, FERC; Matt Dale, FERC.

Agenda

1. **Review comments and discuss initial team responses on Interpretation of CIP-006-1**
 - a. Scott Miller reviewed draft comments received from members and identified differences in responses. For many comments, more team discussion is necessary in order to find consensus.
 - b. Tim Conway discussed two themes that the team may consider in reviewing the interpretation request relative to the standard:
 - i. Is wiring meant to be included within “communication networks”;
 - ii. Do physical access controls extend to logical controls, and if so, does that fit with the language of the standard?
 - c. The team also discussed appendix 3 to CIP-006-3c, which addresses wiring. More research and discussion is necessary.
2. **Develop responses to comments and revise interpretation, if required, based on consensus of comments**
 - a. Some comments were identified that the team could agree to respond to and drafted initial responses. Several comments require further work, but will likely be contingent upon whether, and to what extent, the team determines that the interpretation itself must change.

- b. The team determined that it is likely that the interpretation may need some revision, and that such change will impact how the team will respond to the comments.

3. Action items

- a. Steven to review documents and orders surrounding CIP-006-3c, appendix 3, on this project.
- b. Scott and Tim to develop straw man revision ideas to provide a starting point for the interpretation revision discussion at the next meeting.
- c. Team to continue to develop comments and to develop ideas for revising the interpretation if necessary.

4. Future meetings

- a. Teleconference August 19, 2011, 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. ET.
- b. Teleconference August 26, 2011, 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. ET.