

November 14-15, 2012 | 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m. ET

NERC Headquarters 3353 Peachtree Road NE Suite 600, North Tower Atlanta, GA 30326

H AMERICAN ELECTRIC

RELIABILITY CORPORATION

Dial-in: 1.866.740.1260 | Access Code: 9473673 | Security Code: 205110

Administrative

1. Introductions and Meeting Logistics

2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Team (SDT) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present.

3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Participants are reminded that this meeting is public. Notice of the meeting was posted on the NERC website and widely distributed. The notice included the number for dial-in participation. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.

- 4. Review Current Team Roster
- 5. Review Meeting Agenda and Objectives

NERC

Agenda – Day One

1. Liaison Reports

- a. Standards Committee (SC) Pete Heidrich
- b. Member Representatives Committee/Board of Trustees (MRC/BOT) Pete Heidrich
- c. Planning Committee (PC) Ex Com Jeff Mitchell
- d. System Analysis and Modeling Subcommittee Bill Harm
- e. Reliability Assessment Subcommittee Phil Fedora

2. Review of Previous Action Items

- a. Pete Heidrich will distribute the MRC/BOT presentation to the SDT members once it has been finalized.
 - Distributed to the plus list server on August 20, 2012 Completed
- b. Ed Dobrowolski to contact NERC management to resolve the expectations and process to be followed to reconcile the Bulk Electric System (BES) definition and the ERO Statement of Compliance Registry Criteria as per the BES Definition Phase 2 SAR.
 - SDT will need to identify any proposed changes in the ERO Statement of Compliance Registry brought about by the Phase 2 BES definition in a memo to Mark Lauby who as an officer of the corporation can submit changes. The memo should contain justification for any suggested changes – Completed
- c. Jonathan Sykes will draft a set of rules for how to apply the E1 exclusion to evaluations of applicability of the exclusion.
 - Distributed to the SDT leadership on August 23, 2012 Completed

3. Review of Guidance Document Comment Responses

- a. Q1: Inclusion I1 Jeff Mitchell
- b. Q2: Inclusion I2 Phil Fedora
- c. Q3: Inclusion I4 Phil Fedora
- d. Q4: Inclusion I5 Jason Snodgrass
- e. Q5: Exclusion E1 Jonathan Sykes
- f. Q6: Exclusion E2 John Hughes
- g. Q7: Exclusion E3 Rich Salgo
- h. Q8: System Diagram Pete Heidrich
- i. Q9: General Barry Lawson

NERC

4. Discuss Need for Supplemental Standard Authorization Request (SAR)

Agenda – Day Two

- 1. Definition Clarification Items
 - a. Discuss possible changes to threshold values
 - i. 100 kV bright-line
 - ii. Generation
 - 1. Unit limits in Inclusion I2 and I4
 - 2. Single threshold for generation
 - iii. Local network
 - 1. Power flow
 - 2. Size limitation
 - iv. Reactive resources
 - b. Should reactive resources be specifically included in Exclusions E1 and E3?
 - c. Discuss the need for including the net capacity delivered to the BES from customer-owned generation in Exclusions E1 and E3 generation totals
 - d. Discuss the need to include statements concerning the exclusion of customer-owned "transmission" equipment in Exclusion E2
 - e. Discuss the need to change the sequence of Exclusions based on the hierarchical application of the definition which starts with Exclusion E2 and then goes through Exclusion E4 to Exclusion E3 and finishes with Exclusion E1
 - f. Discuss any needed changes to the ERO Statement of Compliance Registry Criteria due to the revised BES definition
- 2. Phase 2 Schedule
- 3. Next Steps
- 4. Future Meeting(s)

There is a tentative meeting scheduled for December 4-5, 2012 at NYPA or a webinar-supported conference call.

- 5. Action Item Review
- 6. Adjourn