Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2016-04 Modifications to PRC-025-1

**Do not** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [Standards Balloting and Commenting System (SBS)](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on **PRC-025-2 – Generator Relay Loadability**. Comments must be submitted by **8 p.m. Eastern, Wednesday, December 13, 2017.  
m. Eastern, Thursday, August 20, 2015**

Additional information is available on the [project page](http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2016-04-Modifications-to-PRC-025-1.aspx). If you have questions, contact Senior Standards Developer, [Scott Barfield-McGinnis](mailto:scott.barfield@nerc.net?subject=Project%202016-04%20Unofficial%20Comment%20Form) (via email), or at 404-446-9689.

## Background Information

Reliability Standard PRC-025-1 (Generator Relay Loadability), which was approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in Order No. 799 issued on July 17, 2014, became effective on October 1, 2014. Under the phased implementation plan, applicable entities have between five and seven years to become compliant with the standard depending on the scope of work required by the Generator Owner. In the course of implementing the standard, issues have been identified for specific Facility applications and load-responsive protective relays.

The revised PRC-025-2 standards addresses the concerns outlined in the Standards Authorization Request accepted by the Standards Committee on September 14, 2016. The revisions in this draft are clarifying based on industry comment; however, the standard drafting team (SDT) is proposing a revised Implementation Plan to address concerns raised in the comments and informal outreach.

## Questions

1. The Implementation Plan is proposed to supersede the PRC-025-1 Implementation Plan and become effective no earlier than the phased-in dates for PRC-025-1 with the exception that the SDT has revised the plan to provide a full 60-month and 84-month phased-in implementation those Table 1 Options where the phase overcurrent relay 50 element has been added; and a 24-month and 48-month phased-in implementation for the other Table 1 Options affected by the revisions. Do you agree that the proposed Implementation Plan is reasonable given the proposed revisions? If not, please provide a justification for increasing or decreasing the proposed implementation periods.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. If you have any other comments on the Standard or documents, please provide them here:

Comments: