

## A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Coordination of Real-time Activities between Reliability Coordinators
2. **Number:** IRO-016-1
3. **Purpose:** To ensure that each Reliability Coordinator's operations are coordinated such that they will not have an Adverse Reliability Impact on other Reliability Coordinator Areas and to preserve the reliability benefits of interconnected operations.
4. **Applicability:**
  - 4.1. Reliability Coordinators.
5. **Effective Date:** TBD

The RC SDT proposes retiring this as a separate standard.

## B. Requirements

~~**R1.**The Reliability Coordinator that identifies a potential, expected, or actual problem that requires the actions of one or more other Reliability Coordinators shall contact the other Reliability Coordinator(s) to confirm that there is a problem and then discuss options and decide upon a solution to prevent or resolve the identified problem. *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]*~~

The RC SDT proposes moving Requirement R1 and its subrequirements into IRO-014.

~~**R1.1.**If the involved Reliability Coordinators agree on the problem and the actions to take to prevent or mitigate the system condition, each involved Reliability Coordinator shall implement the agreed-upon solution, and notify the involved Reliability Coordinators of the action(s) taken. *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]*~~

~~**R1.2.**If the involved Reliability Coordinators cannot agree on the problem(s) each Reliability Coordinator shall re-evaluate the causes of the disagreement (bad data, status, study results, tools, etc.). *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]*~~

~~**R1.2.1.**If time permits, this re-evaluation shall be done before taking corrective actions. *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]*~~

~~**R1.2.2.**If time does not permit, then each Reliability Coordinator shall operate as though the problem(s) exist(s) until the conflicting system status is resolved. *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]*~~

~~**R1.3.**If the involved Reliability Coordinators cannot agree on the solution, the more conservative solution shall be implemented. *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium]*~~

~~**R2.**The Reliability Coordinator shall document (via operator logs or other data sources) its actions taken for either the event or for the disagreement on the problem(s) or for both. *[Violation Risk Factor: Lower]*~~

The RC SDT proposes retiring Requirement R2 because it is a measure of performance of R1.

## C. Measures

~~M1. For each event that requires Reliability Coordinator to Reliability Coordinator coordination, each involved Reliability Coordinator shall have evidence (operator logs or other data sources) of the actions taken for either the event or for the disagreement on the problem or for both.~~

## D. Compliance

### 1. Compliance Monitoring Process

#### 1.1. Compliance Monitoring Responsibility

~~Regional Reliability Organization~~

#### 1.2. Compliance Monitoring Period and Reset Time Frame

~~The performance reset period shall be one calendar year.~~

#### 1.3. Data Retention

~~The Reliability Coordinator shall keep auditable evidence for a rolling 12 months. In addition, entities found non-compliant shall keep information related to the non-compliance until it has been found compliant. The Compliance Monitor shall keep compliance data for a minimum of three years or until the Reliability Coordinator has achieved full compliance, whichever is longer.~~

#### 1.4. Additional Compliance Information

~~The Reliability Coordinator shall demonstrate compliance through self-certification submitted to its Compliance Monitor annually. The Compliance Monitor shall use a scheduled on-site review at least once every three years. The Compliance Monitor shall conduct an investigation upon a complaint that is received within 30 days of an alleged infraction's discovery date. The Compliance Monitor shall complete the investigation and report back to all involved Reliability Coordinators (the Reliability Coordinator that complained as well as the Reliability Coordinator that was investigated) within 45 days after the start of the investigation. As part of an audit or investigation, the Compliance Monitor shall interview other Reliability Coordinators within the Interconnection and verify that the Reliability Coordinator being audited or investigated has been coordinating actions to prevent or resolve potential, expected, or actual problems that adversely impact the Interconnection.~~

~~The Reliability Coordinator shall have the following available for its Compliance Monitor to inspect during a scheduled, on-site review or within five working days of a request as part of an investigation upon complaint:~~

~~1.4.1 Evidence (operator log or other data source) to show coordination with other Reliability Coordinators.~~

### 2. Levels of Non-Compliance

~~2.1. Level 1: For potential, actual or expected events which required Reliability Coordinator to Reliability Coordinator coordination, the Reliability Coordinator did coordinate, but did not have evidence that it coordinated with other Reliability Coordinators.~~

The measure M1 will be retired along with the requirement.

**Standard IRO-016-1 — Coordination of Real-time Activities between Reliability Coordinators**

~~2.2. Level 2: Not applicable.~~

~~2.3. Level 3: Not applicable.~~

~~2.4. Level 4: For potential, actual or expected events which required Reliability Coordinator to Reliability Coordinator coordination, the Reliability Coordinator did not coordinate with other Reliability Coordinators.~~

**E. Regional Differences**

~~None identified.~~

**F. Associated Documents**

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	August 10, 2005	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Changed incorrect use of certain hyphens (-) to “en dash (–).”</li> <li>2. Hyphenated “30-day” when used as adjective.</li> <li>3. Changed standard header to be consistent with standard “Title.”</li> <li>4. Initial capped heading “Definitions of Terms Used in Standard.”</li> <li>5. Added “periods” to items where appropriate.</li> <li>6. Changed “Timeframe” to “Time Frame” in item D, 1.2.</li> <li>7. Lower cased all words that are not “defined” terms — drafting team, self-certification.</li> <li>8. Changed apostrophes to “smart” symbols.</li> <li>9. Added comma in all word strings “Procedures, Processes, or Plans,” etc.</li> <li>10. Added hyphens to “Reliability Coordinator-to-Reliability Coordinator” where used as adjective.</li> <li>11. Removed comma in item 2.1.2.</li> <li>12. Removed extra spaces between words where appropriate.</li> </ol>	January 20, 2006
1	February 7, 2006	Approved by BOT	Revised
1	April 4, 2007	Regulatory Approval — Effective Date	New
<u>2</u>	<u>April 22, 2008</u>	<u>Revised per SAR for project 2006-6</u>	<u>Retired</u>

