# **Standard Development Timeline**

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

# **Development Steps Completed**

- 1. SAR posted for comment (March 20, 2008).
- 2. SC authorized moving the SAR forward to standard development (July 10, 2008).
- 3. First posting for 60-day formal comment period and concurrent ballot (November 2011).

#### **Description of Current Draft**

This is the second posting of Version 5 of the CIP Cyber Security Standards for a 40-day formal comment period. An initial concept paper, Categorizing Cyber Systems — An Approach Based on BES Reliability Functions, was posted for public comment in July 2009. An early draft consolidating CIP-002 — CIP-009, numbered CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1, was posted for public informal comment in May 2010. A first posting of Version 5 was posted in November 2011 for a 60-day comment period and first ballot. Version 5 reverts to the original organization of the standards with some changes and addresses the balance of the FERC directives in its Order 706 approving Version 1 of the standards. This posting for formal comment and parallel successive ballot addresses the comments received from the first posting and ballot.

Anticipated Actions	Anticipated Date
40-day Formal Comment Period with Parallel Successive Ballot	April 2012
Recirculation ballot	June 2012
BOT adoption	June 2012

#### **Effective Dates**

- 1. 24 Months Minimum The Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards, except for CIP-003-5, Requirement R2, shall become effective on the later of July 1, 2015, or the first calendar day of the ninth calendar quarter after the effective date of the order providing applicable regulatory approval. CIP-003-5, Requirement R2 shall become effective on the later of July 1, 2016, or the first calendar day of the 13th calendar quarter after the effective date of the order providing applicable regulatory approval. Notwithstanding any order to the contrary, CIP-002-4 through CIP-009-4 do not become effective, and CIP-002-3 through CIP-009-3 remain in effect and are not retired until the effective date of the Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards under this implementation plan.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. In those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, the Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards, except for CIP-003-5, Requirement R2, shall become effective on the first day of the ninth calendar quarter following Board of Trustees' approval, and CIP-003-5, Requirement R2 shall become effective on the first day of the 13th calendar quarter following Board of Trustees approval, or as otherwise made effective pursuant to the laws applicable to such ERO governmental authorities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In jurisdictions where CIP-002-4 through CIP-009-4 have not yet become effective according to their implementation plan (even if approved by order), this implementation plan and the Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards supersede and replace the implementation plan and standards for CIP-002-4 through CIP-009-4.

# **Version History**

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	1/16/06	R3.2 — Change "Control Center" to "control center."	3/24/06
2	9/30/09	Modifications to clarify the requirements and to bring the compliance elements into conformance with the latest guidelines for developing compliance elements of standards.	
		Removal of reasonable business judgment.	
		Replaced the RRO with the RE as a responsible entity.	
		Rewording of Effective Date.	
		Changed compliance monitor to Compliance Enforcement Authority.	
3	12/16/09	Updated version number from -2 to -3 Approved by the NERC Board of Trustees.	
3	3/31/10	Approved by FERC.	
4	12/30/10	Modified to add specific criteria for Critical Asset identification.	Update
4	1/24/11	Approved by the NERC Board of Trustees.	Update
5	TBD	Modified to coordinate with other CIP standards and to revise format to use RBS Template.	

## **Definitions of Terms Used in the Standard**

See the associated "Definitions of Terms Used in Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards," which consolidates and includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards.

When this standard has received ballot approval, the text boxes will be moved to the "Guidelines and Technical Basis" section of the Standard.

#### A. Introduction

1. Title: Cyber Security — Personnel & Training

2. Number: CIP-004-5

**3. Purpose:** To minimize the risk from individuals accessing BES Cyber Systems by requiring an appropriate level of personnel risk assessment, training, and security awareness in support of protecting BES Cyber Systems against compromise that could lead to misoperation or instability in the BES.

## 4. Applicability:

- **4.1. Functional Entities:** For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, the following list of Functional Entities will be collectively referred to as "Responsible Entities." For requirements in this standard where a specific Functional Entity or subset of Functional Entities are the applicable entity or entities, the Functional Entity or Entities are specified explicitly.
  - 4.1.1 Balancing Authority
  - 4.1.2 Distribution Provider that owns Facilities described in 4.2.2
  - 4.1.3 Generator Operator
  - 4.1.4 Generator Owner
  - 4.1.5 Interchange Coordinator
  - 4.1.6 Load-Serving Entity that owns Facilities described in 4.2.1
  - 4.1.7 Reliability Coordinator
  - 4.1.8 Transmission Operator
  - 4.1.9 Transmission Owner

#### 4.2. Facilities:

- **4.2.1 Load Serving Entity:** One or more of the UFLS or UVLS Systems that are part of a Load shedding program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard and that perform automatic load shedding under a common control system, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.
- **4.2.2 Distribution Provider**: One or more of the Systems or programs designed, installed, and operated for the protection or restoration of the BES:
  - A UFLS or UVLS System that is part of a Load shedding program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard and that performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more

- A Special Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme where the Special Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme is required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- A Protection System that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.
- **4.2.3** Responsible Entities listed in **4.1** other than Distribution Providers and Load-Serving Entities: All BES Facilities.
- **4.2.4 Exemptions:** The following are exempt from Standard CIP-002-5:
  - **4.2.4.1** Cyber Assets at Facilities regulated by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.
  - **4.2.4.2** Cyber Assets associated with communication networks and data communication links between discrete Electronic Security Perimeters.
  - **4.2.4.3** In nuclear plants, the Systems, structures, and components that are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission under a cyber security plan pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Section 73.54.

## 5. Background:

Standard CIP-004-5 exists as part of a suite of CIP Standards related to cyber security. CIP-002-5 requires the initial identification and categorization of BES Cyber Systems. CIP-003-5, CIP-004-5, CIP-005-5, CIP-006-5, CIP-007-5, CIP-008-5, CIP-009-5, CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1 require a minimum level of organizational, operational and procedural controls to mitigate risk to BES Cyber Systems. This suite of CIP Standards is referred to as the *Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards*.

Most requirements open with, "Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented [processes, plan, etc] that include the applicable items in [Table Reference]." The referenced table requires the applicable items in the procedures for a common subject matter.

Measures for the initial requirement are simply the documented processes themselves. Measures in the table rows provide examples of evidence to show documentation and implementation of applicable items in the documented processes. A numbered list in the measure means the evidence example includes all of the items in the list. In contrast, a bulleted list provides multiple options of acceptable evidence. These measures serve to provide guidance to entities in acceptable records of compliance and should not be viewed as an all-inclusive list.

The term *documented processes* refers to a set of required instructions specific to the Responsible Entity and to achieve a specific outcome. This term does not imply any particular naming or approval structure beyond what is stated in the requirements. An entity should include as much as they feel necessary in their documented processes, but they must address the applicable requirements in the table.

The terms *program* and *plan* are sometimes used in place of *documented processes* where it makes sense and is commonly understood. For example, documented processes describing a response are typically referred to as *plans* (i.e., incident response plans and recovery plans). Likewise, a security plan can describe an approach involving multiple procedures to address a broad subject matter.

Similarly, the term *program* may refer to the organization's overall implementation of its policies, plans and procedures involving a subject matter. Examples in the standards include the personnel risk assessment program and the personnel training program. The full implementation of the CIP Cyber Security Standards could also be referred to as a program. However, the terms *program* and *plan* do not imply any additional requirements beyond what is stated in the standards.

Responsible Entities can implement common controls that meet requirements for multiple high and medium impact BES Cyber Systems. For example, a single training program could meet the requirements for training personnel across multiple BES Cyber Systems.

## **Applicability Columns in Tables:**

Each table row has an applicability column to further define the scope to which a specific requirement row applies to BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets. The CSO706 SDT adapted this concept from the National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST") Risk Management Framework as a way of applying requirements more appropriately based on impact and connectivity characteristics. The following conventions are used in the applicability column as described.

- **High Impact BES Cyber Systems** Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as high impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes.
- Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as medium impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes.
- Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity – Only applies to medium impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity.
- Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems Applies to each
  Electronic Access Control or Monitoring System associated with a corresponding high
  impact BES Cyber System or medium impact BES Cyber System in the applicability

- column. Examples include, but are not limited to firewalls, authentication servers, and log monitoring and alerting systems.
- Associated Physical Access Control Systems Applies to each Physical Access Control System associated with a corresponding high impact BES Cyber System or medium impact BES Cyber System with External Routable Connectivity in the applicability column.

## **B.** Requirements and Measures

**Rationale for R1:** Ensures that Responsible Entities with personnel who have authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Assets take action so that those personnel with such authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access maintain awareness of the Responsible Entity's security practices.

**Summary of Changes:** Reformatted into table structure.

- **R1.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in CIP-004-5 Table R1 Security Awareness Program. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]
- **M1.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-004-5 Table R1 Security Awareness Program* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

April 10, 2012 Page 9 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R1 – Security Awareness Program			
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures	
1.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems	A security awareness program that, at least once each calendar quarter, conveys ongoing reinforcement of cyber security practices for the Responsible Entity's personnel who have authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems.	Evidence must include the documented security awareness program, and additional evidence to demonstrate that this program was implemented. Evidence of implementation may include, but not limited to, documentation that the quarterly reinforcement has been provided. Evidence of reinforcement may include dated copies of information used to reinforce security awareness, as well as evidence of distribution such as: direct communications (for example, e-mails, memos, computer-based training); indirect communications (for example, posters, intranet, or brochures); management support and reinforcement (for example, presentations or meetings).	
	Change Rationale: Changed to remove the need to ensure everyone with authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access "received" ongoing reinforcement – to state that the program conveys awareness and measures that reinforcement "has been provided."  Moved example mechanisms to guidance.  Changed to record delivery.		scorted physical access "received" re program conveys awareness and provided."	

April 10, 2012 Page 10 of 53

**Rationale for R2:** To ensure that the Responsible Entity's training program for personnel who need authorized electronic access and/or authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems covers the proper policies, access controls, and procedures to protect BES Cyber Systems.

Based on their role, some personnel may not require training on all topics.

# **Summary of Changes:**

- 1. Addition of specific role training for:
  - The visitor control program
  - Electronic interconnectivity supporting the operation and control of BES Cyber Systems
  - Storage media as part of the handling of BES Cyber Systems information
- 2. Change references from Critical Cyber Assets to BES Cyber Systems
  - **R2.** Each Responsible Entity shall have a role-based cyber security training program to attain and retain authorized electronic access or authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems that includes each of the applicable items in CIP-004-5 Table R2 Cyber Security Training Program. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]
  - **M2.** Evidence must include the training program that includes each of the applicable items in *CIP-004-5 Table R2 Cyber Security Training Program*.

April 10, 2012 Page 11 of 53

	CIP-004-5	Table R2 – Cyber Security Training Progr	am
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
2.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Identification of each role and training required for each role.	Acceptable evidence must include a list of roles and what training is needed for each role.
Refere	ence to prior version: NEW	Change Rationale: The first thing neede understand what roles individuals have s what training modules it needs to provid	so that the Responsible Entity can plan
2.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on the cyber security policies protecting the Responsible Entity's BES Cyber Systems.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material on the security controls that have been implemented to protect BES Cyber Systems.
Reference to prior version:		Change Rationale: Removed to address function. The previous version was focus use of the BES Cyber System and is outside.	ed more on the business or functional

April 10, 2012 Page 12 of 53

	CIP-004-5	Table R2 – Cyber Security Training Progr	am
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
2.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on the physical access controls protecting the Responsible Entity's BES Cyber Systems.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the proper use of physical access controls for BES Cyber Systems.
	ence to prior version: 1-4, R2.2.1 and R2.2.2	Change Rationale: Minor wording change	ges.
2.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on the electronic access controls protecting the Responsible Entity's BES Cyber Systems.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the electronic access controls to protect BES Cyber Systems.
	Reference to prior version: Change Rationale: Minor wording changes.  CIP004-4, R2.2.1 and R2.2.2		ges.

April 10, 2012 Page 13 of 53

	CIP-004-5	Table R2 – Cyber Security Training Progr	am
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
2.5	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on the visitor control program.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the visitor control program.
Refere NEW 2.6	ence to prior version:	Change Rationale: No significant change from previous versions.	
	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on handling of BES Cyber System Information and its storage.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the handling of BES Cyber System Information, including its storage.
	Reference to prior version:  CIP004-4, R2.2.3  Change Rationale: Core training on the handling of BES Cyber System (not Critical Cyber Assets) Information, with the addition of storage media; FERC Order No. 706, paragraph 413 and paragraphs 632-634, 688, 732-734; DHS 2.4.16.		he addition of storage media; FERC

April 10, 2012 Page 14 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R2 – Cyber Security Training Program		
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
2.7	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on identification of a potential BES Cyber Security Incident and initial notifications in accordance with the entity's incident response plan	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the identification of a potential BES Cyber Security Incident and associated notifications.
CIP-00	Reference to prior version:  CIP-004-4, R2.2.4 (new; implied but not stated in CIP-004-4 or CIP-008-4)  Change Rationale: Core training on the identification and reporting of a Control of the control of the identification and reporting of a Control of the identification and reporting of the ident		aragraph 413; Related to CIP-008-5 &
2.8	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on recovery plans for BES Cyber Systems.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on recovery plans for BES Cyber Systems.
	ClP004-4, R2.2.4  Change Rationale: Core training on the action plans and procedures to recovery, for re-establish BES Cyber Systems for personnel having a role in the recovery, FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 413.		•

April 10, 2012 Page 15 of 53

	CIP-004-5	Table R2 – Cyber Security Training Progr	am
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
2.9	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on response to BES Cyber Security Incidents.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the response to a BES Cyber Security Incident.
	ence to prior version:	Change Rationale: Minor wording chang	ges.
2.10	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Training content on risks associated with a BES Cyber System's electronic interconnectivity and interoperability with other Cyber Assets.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, training material such as power point presentations, instructor notes, student notes, handouts, or other training materials on the electronic interconnectivity and interoperability with other Cyber Assets.
Refere NEW	ence to prior version:	Change Rationale: Core training programs are intended to encompass networking hardware and software and other issues of electronic interconnectivity supporting the operation and control of BES Cyber Systems; FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 434.	

April 10, 2012 Page 16 of 53

**Rationale for R3:** To ensure that personnel with authorized electronic access or authorized unescorted physical access are trained in the policies, access controls, and procedures to protect the BES Cyber Systems.

**Summary of Changes:** Re-organization of the training requirements into the respective requirements for "program" and "implementation" of the training.

- **R3.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement its documented role-based cyber security training program to attain and retain authorized electronic or unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems that includes each of the applicable items in CIP-004-5 Table R3 Cyber Security Training. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Same Day Operations].
- **M3.** Evidence must include, but is not limited to, documentation that the training was provided as defined in *CIP-004-5 Table R3 Cyber Security Training*.

	CIP-004-5 Table R3 – Cyber Security Training		
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
3.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Require completion and documentation of the training specified in CIP-004-5, Requirement R2 prior to granting authorized electronic access and authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems, except during CIP Exceptional Circumstances.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, for each individual requiring authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access, dated individual training records, the date authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access was first granted, or a dated log or documentation of when CIP Exceptional Circumstances were invoked and revoked.
	Reference to prior version:  CIP004-4, R2.1  Change Rationale: Addition of exceptional circumstances parameters as directed in FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 431 is detailed in CIP-003-5.		

April 10, 2012 Page 17 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R3 – Cyber Security Training		
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
3.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or	Require completion and documentation of the training specified in CIP-004-5, Requirement R2 at least once every calendar year, but not to exceed 15 calendar months.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, dated individual training records.
Refer	Associated Electronic Access Control of Monitoring Systems  Reference to prior version:  Change Rationale: Updated to further define what "Annual" training means.		
	CIP004-4, R2.3		j

April 10, 2012 Page 18 of 53

**Rationale for R4:** To ensure that individuals who need authorized electronic or unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems have been assessed for risk.

**Summary of Changes:** Specify that the seven year criminal history check covers all locations where the individual has resided, been employed, and/or attended school for six months or more, including current residence regardless of duration.

- **R4.** Each Responsible Entity shall have one or more documented personnel risk assessment programs to attain and retain authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems that collectively includes each of the applicable items in CIP-004-5 Table R4 Personnel Risk Assessment Program. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M4.** Evidence must include the documented personnel risk assessment program that collectively includes each of the applicable items in *CIP-004-5 Table R4 Personnel Risk Assessment Program*.

	CIP-004-5 Table R4 – Personnel Risk Assessment Program		
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
4.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	An initial personnel risk assessment ("PRA") that includes identity verification.	Acceptable evidence must include the documented personnel risk assessment program with a requirement for an initial personnel risk assessment that includes identity verification.
	rence to prior version: 04-4, R3.1	Change Rationale: Addressed interpretate that identity verification is only required for The implementation plan clarifies that a deconducted under an earlier version of the Conducted that the Conducted that it is a deconducted to the Conducted that is a deconducted to the Conducted that is a deconducted to the Conducted that is a deconducted that is a deconducted to the Conducted that is a deconducted that is a de	r each individual's initial assessment.

April 10, 2012 Page 19 of 53

CIP-004-5 — Cyber Security – Personnel & Training

	CIP-004-5 Table R4 – Personnel Risk Assessment Program		
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures
4.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Seven year criminal history records check including current residence, regardless of duration, and covering at least all locations where, during the seven years immediately prior to the date of the criminal history records check, the subject has, for six months or more:  4.2.1. resided; 4.2.2. been employed (if applicable); and 4.2.3. attended school (if applicable).  If it is not possible to perform a full seven year criminal history records check, conduct as much of the seven year criminal history records check as possible and document the reason the full seven year criminal history records check could not be performed.	Acceptable evidence must include the documented personnel risk assessment program with a requirement for a seven-year criminal history record check in accordance with this part.
	ence to prior version: 14-4, R3.1	Change Rationale: Specify that the seven year criminal history check covers all locations where the individual has resided, been employed, and/or attended school for six months or more, including current residence regardless of duration. Added additional wording based on interpretation request. Provision is made for when a full seven-year check cannot be performed.	

April 10, 2012 Page 20 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R4 – Personnel Risk Assessment Program			
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirements	Measures	
4.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Process or criteria used to evaluate personnel risk assessments to determine when to deny authorized access.	Acceptable evidence must include the documented personnel risk assessment program with the process or criteria identified.	
Reference to prior version:  NEW		Change Rationale: There should be documented criteria or a process used to evaluate personnel risk assessments.		
4.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Criteria or process for verifying that personnel risk assessments performed for contractors or service vendors are conducted pursuant to CIP-004-5 R4, Parts 4.1 through 4.3.	Acceptable evidence must include the documented personnel risk assessment program with the criteria or process identified.	
Reference to prior version:		Change Rationale: Separated into its own	table item.	
CIP-0	04-4, R3.3			

April 10, 2012 Page 21 of 53

Rationale for R5: To ensure that individuals who have authorized access to BES Cyber Systems have been assessed for risk.

- **R5.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes to attain and retain authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access to BES Cyber Systems that collectively include each of the applicable elements in CIP-004-5 Table R5 Personnel Risk Assessment. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Same Day Operations]
- **M5.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-004-5 Table R5 Personnel Risk Assessment* and additional evidence to demonstrate that these processes were implemented as described in the Measures column of the table.

April 10, 2012 Page 22 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R5 – Personnel Risk Assessment			
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirement	Measures	
5.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Have a personnel risk assessment performed as specified in CIP-004-5, Requirement R4 prior to being granted authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances.	<ul> <li>Evidence may include, but is not limited to:</li> <li>Dated records showing that personnel risk assessments were completed before authorized electronic or authorized unescorted physical access was authorized; or</li> <li>Dated records showing that, before authorized electronic or authorized unescorted access was authorized, the Responsible Entity received dated documentation or attestations from contractors or service vendors verifying that personnel risk assessments were conducted pursuant to CIP-004-5, Requirement R4.</li> </ul>	
-		Change Rationale: Minor wording chang attestations from contractors or vendors	•	

April 10, 2012 Page 23 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R5 – Personnel Risk Assessment			
Part	Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets	Requirement	Measures	
5.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Update each personnel risk assessment at least once every seven calendar years after the initial or previous personnel risk assessment such that the current PRA is no older than seven years.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, current and previous personnel risk assessment records.	
Refer	ence to prior version:	Change Rationale: Eliminated the "for c	ause" renewal.	
CIP-00	CIP-004-4, R3.2			

April 10, 2012 Page 24 of 53

**Rationale for R6:** To ensure that individuals with access to BES Cyber Systems and the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity have been properly authorized for such access. "Authorization" should be considered to be a grant of permission by a person or persons empowered by the Responsible Entity to perform such grants and included in the delegations referenced in CIP-003-5. "Provisioning" should be considered the actions to provide access to an individual.

Access is physical, logical, and remote permissions granted to all Cyber Assets composing the BES Cyber System or allowing access to the BES Cyber System. When granting, reviewing, or revoking access, the Responsible Entity must address the Cyber Asset specifically as well as the systems used to enable such access (i.e., physical access control system, remote access system, directory services).

CIP Exceptional Circumstances are defined in a Responsible Entity's policy from CIP-003-5 and allow an exception to the requirement for authorization to BES Cyber Systems and BES Cyber System Information.

Quarterly reviews in Part 6.5 are to perform a validation that only authorized users have been granted access to BES Cyber Systems. This is achieved by comparing individuals actually provisioned to a BES Cyber System against records of individuals authorized to access the BES Cyber System. The focus of this requirement is on the integrity of provisioning access rather than individual accounts on all BES Cyber Assets. The list of provisioned individuals can be an automatically generated account listing. However, in a BES Cyber System with several account databases, the list of provisioned individuals may come from other records such as provisioning workflow or a user account database where provisioning typically initiates.

If the results of quarterly or annual account reviews indicate an administrative or clerical error in which access was not actually provisioned, then the SDT intends that the error should not be considered a violation of this requirement.

For BES Cyber Systems that do not have user accounts defined, the controls listed in Requirement R6 are not applicable. However, the Responsible Entity should document such configurations.

**Summary of Changes:** The primary change was in pulling the access management requirements from CIP-003-4, CIP-004-4, and CIP-007-4 into a single requirement. The requirements from Version 4 remain largely unchanged except to clarify some terminology. The purpose for combining these requirements is to remove the perceived redundancy in authorization and review. The requirement in CIP-004-4 R4 to maintain a list of authorized personnel has been removed because the list represents only one form of evidence to demonstrate compliance that only authorized persons have access.

April 10, 2012 Page 25 of 53

- **R6.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented access management programs that collectively include each of the applicable elements in CIP-004-5 Table R6 Access Management Program. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning and Same Day Operations].
- **M6.** Evidence must include the documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-004-5 Table R6 Access Management Program* and additional evidence to demonstrate that the access management program was implemented as described in the Measures column of the table.

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
6.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Designate one or more individual(s) to authorize:  6.1.1. electronic access; 6.1.2. unescorted physical access; and 6.1.3. access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity.	Evidence may include, but is not limited dated documentation designating one or more individual(s) to authorize electronic access, unescorted physical access, and access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity.	
Reference to prior version:  CIP 003-4, R5.1; CIP-007-4, R5.1.1		<b>Change Rationale:</b> Combined requirement on the combined requirement of the combined r		

April 10, 2012 Page 26 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
6.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	The individual(s) designated in Part 6.1 shall authorize electronic access that the Responsible Entity determines is necessary for performing assigned work functions, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, a signed document, automated workflow approval, or email showing persons with electronic access have authorization, and similar or the same records showing the consideration of appropriate privileges on the basis of need in performing a work function were considered as part of the authorization.	
Reference to prior version: CIP 007-4 R5.1, CIP 004-4 R4		Change Rationale: CIP-003-4, CIP-004-4, authorization of access in some form, and authorization on a "need to know" basis performed. These were consolidated to a language.	d CIP-003-4 and CIP-007-4 require or with respect to work functions	

April 10, 2012 Page 27 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
6.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	The individual(s) designated in Part 6.1 shall authorize unescorted physical access that the Responsible Entity determines is necessary for performing assigned work functions, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, a system generated list of people with unescorted physical access, a signed document, automated workflow approval, or email showing persons with unescorted physical access have authorization, and similar or the same records showing the consideration of appropriate privileges on the basis of need in performing a work function were considered as part of the authorization.	
Reference to prior version:  CIP-006-4 R1.5		<b>Change Rationale:</b> CIP-003-4, CIP-004-4, CIP-006-4, and CIP-007-4 all reference authorization of access in some form, and CIP-003-4 and CIP-007-4 require authorization on a "need to know" basis or with respect to work functions performed. These were consolidated to ensure consistency in the requirement language.		

April 10, 2012 Page 28 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
6.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	The individual(s) designated in Part 6.1 shall authorize access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity that the Responsible Entity determines are necessary for performing assigned work functions, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances.	A signed document, automated workflow approval or email showing persons with access to BES Cyber System Information have authorization, and similar or the same records showing the consideration of appropriate privileges on the basis of need in performing a work function were considered as part of the authorization.	
Reference to prior version: CIP-003-4, R5.2		Change Rationale: CIP-003-4, CIP-004-4, CIP-006-4, and CIP-007-4 all reference authorization of access in some form, and CIP-003 and CIP-007 require authorization on a "need to know" basis or with respect to work functions performed. These were consolidated to ensure consistency in the requirement language.		

April 10, 2012 Page 29 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program		
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures
6.5	High Impact BES Cyber Systems Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Verify at least once each calendar quarter that individuals provisioned for authorized electronic access or authorized unescorted physical access have associated authorization records.	<ul> <li>Evidence may include, but is not limited to:</li> <li>Dated documentation of the verification between the system generated list of individuals who have been authorized for access (i.e., workflow database) and a system generated list of personnel who have access (i.e., user account listing), or</li> <li>Documentation of the dated verification between a list of individuals who have been authorized for access (i.e. authorization forms) and a list of individuals provisioned for access (i.e. provisioning forms or shared account listing).</li> </ul>
Reference to prior version:  CIP 004-4, R4.1		Change Rationale: Feedback among tear auditors indicates there has been confusiterm "review" entailed in CIP-004-4, Requithe review should occur between the pro	on in implementation around what the uirement R4.1. This requirement clarifies

April 10, 2012 Page 30 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
6.6	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	For electronic access, verify at least once each calendar year, not to exceed 15 calendar months between verifications, that all user accounts, user account groups, or user role categories, and their specific, associated privileges are correct and are those that the Responsible Entity determines necessary for performing assigned work functions.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, documentation of the review including:  1. A dated listing of all accounts/account groups or roles within the system;  2. A summary description of privileges associated with each group or role;  3. Accounts assigned to the group or role; and  4. Dated evidence showing verification of the privileges for the group are authorized and appropriate to the work function performed by people assigned to each account.	
Reference to prior version: CIP 007-4, R5.1.3		Change Rationale: Moved requirements cross-referencing of requirements. Clarification by stating the objective was a correct and the minimum necessary for p	ied what was necessary in performing to confirm that access privileges are	

April 10, 2012 Page 31 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R6 – Access Management Program			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
6.7	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	Verify at least once per calendar year, but not to exceed 15 calendar months between verifications, that access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity are correct and those that the Responsible Entity determines necessary for performing assigned work functions.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following documentation of the review:  1. A dated listing of authorizations for BES Cyber System information;  2. Any privileges associated with the authorizations; and  3. Dated evidence showing a verification of the authorizations and any privileges were confirmed correct and the minimum necessary for performing assigned work functions.	
Reference to prior version:  CIP-003-4, R5.1.2		Change Rationale: Moved requirement to reviews. Clarified precise meaning of an eperforming a verification by stating the care correct and the minimum necessary in	nual. Clarified what was necessary in objective was to confirm access privileges	

April 10, 2012 Page 32 of 53

**Rationale for R7:** The timely revocation of electronic access to BES Cyber Systems is an essential element of an access management regime. When an individual no longer requires access to a BES Cyber System to perform his or her assigned functions, that access should be revoked. This is of particular importance in situations where a change of assignment or employment is involuntary, as there is a risk the individual(s) involved will react in a hostile or destructive manner.

In considering how to address directives in FERC Order No. 706 directing "immediate" revocation of access for involuntary separation, the SDT chose not to specify hourly time parameters in the requirement (i.e., revoking access within 1 hour). The point in time at which an organization terminates a person cannot generally be determined down to the hour. However, most organizations have formal termination processes, and the timeliest revocation of access occurs in concurrence with the initial processes of termination.

Access is physical, logical, and remote permissions granted to all Cyber Assets composing the BES Cyber System or allowing access to the BES Cyber System. When granting, reviewing, or revoking access, the Responsible Entity must address the Cyber Asset specifically as well as the systems used to enable such access (i.e., physical access control system, remote access system, directory services).

**Summary of Changes:** FERC Order No. 706, Paragraphs 460 and 461, state the following: The Commission adopts the CIP NOPR proposal to direct the ERO to develop modifications to CIP-004-1 to require immediate revocation of access privileges when an employee, contractor or vendor no longer performs a function that requires physical or electronic access to a Critical Cyber Asset for any reason (including disciplinary action, transfer, retirement, or termination).

As a general matter, the Commission believes that revoking access when an employee no longer needs it, either because of a change in job or the end of employment, must be immediate.

- **R7.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented access revocation programs that collectively include each of the applicable items in CIP-004-5 Table R7 Access Revocation. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Same Day Operations and Operations Planning].
- **M7.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented programs that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-004-5 Table R7 Access Revocation* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

April 10, 2012 Page 33 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R7 – Access Revocation			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
7.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	For all termination actions, initiate the process to revoke the individual's unescorted physical access and Interactive Remote Access upon the effective date and time of the termination action, and complete the revocation within 24 hours after the effective date and time of the termination action.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to:  1. Dated workflow or sign-off form verifying access removal associated with the termination action; and  2. Logs or other demonstration showing such persons no longer have access.	
77Reference to prior version: CIP 004-4, R4.2		Change Rationale: The FERC Order No. 7 modifications to the Standards to require no longer needing access. To address this revocation concurrent with the terminati	is directive, this requirement specifies	

April 10, 2012 Page 34 of 53

	CIP-004-5 Table R7 – Access Revocation			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures	
7.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	For reassignments or transfers, revoke the individual's electronic and physical access that the Responsible Entity determines is not necessary by the end of the next calendar day following the reassignment or transfer.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to:  1. Dated workflow or sign-off form showing a review of logical and physical access; and 2. Logs or other demonstration showing such persons no longer have access that the Responsible Entity determines is not necessary.	
Reference to prior version: CIP-004-4, R4.2		Change Rationale: FERC Order No. 706, Fee modifications to the Standards to require longer needing access, including transfer modify this requirement, the SDT determaccess after a transfer was problematic before a cresult, the SDT adapted this requirement review access authorizations on the date more effective control in accomplishing transfer was problematically accumulating unnecessary authorizations.	e immediate revocation for any person no cred employees. In reviewing how to ined the date a person no longer needs because the need may change over time. The solution of the transfer. The SDT felt this was a the objective to prevent a person from	

April 10, 2012 Page 35 of 53

CIP-004-5 Table R7 – Access Revocation			
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures
7.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	For termination actions, revoke the individual's access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity by the end of the next calendar day following the effective date and time of the termination action.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, workflow or sign-off form verifying access removal to designated physical areas or cyber systems containing BES Cyber System Information associated with the terminations and dated within the next calendar day of the termination action.
Reference to prior version:  NEW		Change Rationale: FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 386, directs modifications to the standards to require prompt revocation of access to protected information. To address this directive, Responsible Entities are required to revoke access to areas designated for BES Cyber System Information. This could include records closets, substation control houses, records management systems, file shares or other physical and logical areas under the Responsible Entity's control.	

April 10, 2012 Page 36 of 53

	CI	P-004-5 Table R7 – Access Revocation	
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures
7.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	For termination actions, revoke the individual's user accounts on BES Cyber Assets (unless already revoked in accordance with Requirements R7.1 or R7.3) within 30 calendar days of the effective date of the termination action.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to, workflow or sign-off form showing access removal for any individual BES Cyber Assets and software applications as determined necessary to completing the revocation of access and dated within thirty calendar days of the termination actions.
Refere NEW	ence to prior version:	Change Rationale: FERC Order No. 706, modifications to the Standards to require longer needing access. In order to meet Entities will likely have initial revocation physical access to the BES Cyber System. coordinate access revocation on individual affecting reliability. This requirement procemplete the revocation process. Althout further access, this step provides addition process.	e immediate revocation for any person no the immediate timeframe, Responsible procedures to prevent remote and Some cases may take more time to al Cyber Assets and applications without ovides the additional time to review and gh the initial actions already prevent

April 10, 2012 Page 37 of 53

	CI	P-004-5 Table R7 – Access Revocation	
Part	Applicability	Requirements	Measures
7.5	High Impact BES Cyber Systems Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems	For termination actions, reassignments, or transfers, change passwords for shared account(s) known to the user within 30 calendar days of the termination action, reassignment, or transfer of the user.  If the Responsible Entity determines and documents that extenuating operating circumstances require a longer time period, change the password(s) within 10 calendar days following the end of the operating circumstances.	Evidence may include, but is not limited to:  • Workflow or sign-off form showing password reset within 30 calendar days of the termination; or  • Workflow or sign-off form showing password reset within 30 calendar days of the reassignments or transfers.
Refer	ence to prior version:	Change Rationale:	
CIP-0	07-4, R5.2.3	To provide clarification of expected action	ns in managing the passwords.

April 10, 2012 Page 38 of 53

### C. Compliance

### 1. Compliance Monitoring Process:

### **1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority:**

The Regional Entity shall serve as the Compliance Enforcement Authority ("CEA") unless the applicable entity is owned, operated, or controlled by the Regional Entity. In such cases the ERO or a Regional entity approved by FERC or other applicable governmental authority shall serve as the CEA.

#### 1.2. Evidence Retention:

The following evidence retention periods identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full time period since the last audit.

- Each Responsible Entity shall retain data or evidence for each requirement in this standard for three calendar years or for the duration of any regional or Compliance Enforcement Authority investigation; whichever is longer.
- If a Responsible Entity is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until mitigation is complete and approved or for the duration specified above, whichever is longer.
- The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

### 1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes:

- Compliance Audit
- Self-Certification
- Spot Checking
- Compliance Investigation
- Self-Reporting
- Complaint

### 1.4. Additional Compliance Information:

None

April 10, 2012 Page 39 of 53

# **Table of Compliance Elements**

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1	Operations Planning	Lower	The Responsible Entity did not convey ongoing security awareness reinforcement at least once for a calendar quarter and did so less than 10 calendar days after the start of a subsequent calendar quarter. (1.1)	The Responsible Entity did not convey ongoing security awareness reinforcement at least once for a calendar quarter and did so between 10 and 30 calendar days after the start of a subsequent calendar quarter. (1.1)	The Responsible Entity did not convey ongoing security awareness reinforcement at least once for a calendar quarter and did so beyond 30 calendar days after the start of a subsequent calendar quarter. (1.1)	The Responsible Entity did not document a security awareness program. (R1)
R2	Operations Planning	Lower	The Responsible Entity did define the roles that require training and did have the required role-based training, but did not include 1 of the required training content as detailed in 2.2 through 2.10.	The Responsible Entity did define the roles that require training and did have the required role-based training, but did not include 2 of the required training content as detailed in 2.2 through 2.10.	The Responsible Entity did define the roles that require training and did have the required role-based training, but did not include 4 or more of the training content as detailed in 2.2 through 2.10.	The Responsible Entity did not have the required role-based training. (R2)
R3	Operations Planning.	Medium	With the exception of policy-identified CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible did not	With the exception of policy-identified CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible did not	With the exception of policy-identified CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible did not	With the exception of policy-identified CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible did not

April 10, 2012 Page 40 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			train 1 individual prior to their being granted electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (3.1)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not train 1 individual authorized for electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year not exceeding 15 months between training. (3.2)	train 2 individuals prior to their being granted electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (3.1)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not train 2 individuals authorized for electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year not exceeding 15 months between training. (3.2)	train 3 individuals prior to their being granted electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (3.1)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not train 3 individuals authorized for electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year not exceeding 15 months between training. (3.2)	train 4 or more individuals prior to their being granted electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (3.1)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not train 4 or more individuals authorized for electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year not exceeding 15 months between training. (3.2)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not implement at all its cyber security training program. (R3)
R4	Operations Planning	Medium	N/A	The Responsible Entity has a personnel risk assessment program, as stated in	The Responsible Entity has a personnel risk assessment program, as stated in	The Responsible Entity did not have a personnel risk assessment program,

April 10, 2012 Page 41 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				Requirement R4, for individuals having authorized cyber or authorized unescorted physical access, but the program does not include identity verification or a criminal history records check. (4.1) (4.2)	Requirement R4, for individuals having authorized cyber or authorized unescorted physical access, but the program did not include the required documented results or the program did not include criteria or process to determine when authorized access shall not be granted. (4.3)(4.5)	as stated in Requirement R4, for individuals having authorized cyber or authorized unescorted physical access. (R4)
R5	Same Day Operations	Medium	Except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible Entity did not perform personnel risk assessments for 1 individual prior to granting authorized electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (5.1) OR	Except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible Entity did not perform personnel risk assessments for 2 individuals prior to granting authorized electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (5.1) OR The Responsible Entity did not update	Except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible Entity did not perform personnel risk assessments for 3 individuals prior to granting authorized electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (5.1) OR	The Responsible Entity did not have a documented process for personnel risk assessments. (R5)  OR  Except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances, the Responsible Entity did not perform personnel risk assessments for 4 or more individuals

April 10, 2012 Page 42 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			The Responsible Entity did not update personnel risk assessments every seven years for 1 individual within seven years after the initial performance or last update of the personnel risk assessment. (5.2)	personnel risk assessments every seven years for 2 individuals within seven years after the initial performance or last update of the personnel risk assessment. (5.2)	The Responsible Entity did not update personnel risk assessments every seven years for 3 or more individuals within seven years after the initial performance or last update of the personnel risk assessment. (5.2)	prior to granting authorized electronic and unescorted physical access in a calendar year. (5.1)
R6	Operations Planning and Same Day Operations	Lower	The Responsible Entity did not authorize or have the individual(s) designated in 6.1 authorize electronic access, unescorted physical access, or access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity that the Responsible Entity	The Responsible Entity did not authorize or have the individual(s) designated in 6.1 authorize electronic access, unescorted physical access, or access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity that the Responsible Entity	The Responsible Entity did not authorize or have the individual(s) designated in 6.1 authorize electronic access, unescorted physical access, or access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity that the Responsible Entity	The Responsible Entity did not have a documented process for access management. (R6)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not designate one or more individual(s) to authorize electronic access, unescorted physical access, or access to the physical and electronic

April 10, 2012 Page 43 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			determined was necessary for performing assigned work functions. (6.2) (6.3) (6.4) OR  The Responsible Entity did verify within 17 calendar months but not within 15 calendar months that: (6.6) (6.7)  • all user accounts, user account groups, and user role categories were correct, or  • their specific, associated privileges were correct or that they were those that that the Responsible	determined was necessary for performing assigned work functions and one user was granted access without authorization by the individual(s) designated in 6.1. (6.2) (6.3) (6.4)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not verify within the calendar quarter that individuals provisioned for unescorted physical access and electronic access had associated authorization records. (6.5)  OR  The Responsible Entity did verify within 19 calendar months but not within 17 calendar months that: (6.6)	determined was necessary for performing assigned work functions and two users were granted access without authorization by the individual(s) designated in 6.1. (6.2) (6.3) (6.4)  OR  The Responsible Entity did verify within 21 calendar months but not within 19 calendar months that: (6.6) (6.7)  • all user accounts, user account groups, and user role categories are correct, or • their specific, associated privileges were	locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity. (6.1)  OR The Responsible Entity did not authorize or have the individual(s) designated in 6.1 authorize electronic access, unescorted physical access, and access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity that the Responsible Entity determined was necessary for performing assigned work functions and three or more users were granted access without authorization

April 10, 2012 Page 44 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			Entity determined necessary for performing assigned work functions. • access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity was correct or that the access was what the Responsible Entity determined necessary for performing assigned work functions.	<ul> <li>all user accounts, user account groups, and user role categories were correct, or</li> <li>their specific, associated privileges were correct or that they were those that the Responsible Entity determined necessary for performing assigned work functions.</li> <li>access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System</li> </ul>	correct or that they were those that that the Responsible Entity determined necessary for performing assigned work functions.  • access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity was correct or that the access was what the Responsible Entity determined necessary for	by the individual(s) designated in 6.1. (6.2) (6.3) (6.4)  OR  The Responsible Entity did not verify within 24 calendar months that: (6.6) (6.7)  • all user accounts, user account groups, and user role categories were correct, or • their specific, associated privileges were correct or that they were the those that that the Responsible Entity determined necessary for

April 10, 2012 Page 45 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				Information is stored by the Responsible Entity was correct or that the access was what the Responsible Entity determined necessary for performing assigned work functions.	performing assigned work functions.	performing assigned work functions, or  access to the physical and electronic locations where BES Cyber System Information is stored by the Responsible Entity was correct or that the access was what the Responsible Entity determined necessary for performing assigned work functions.
R7	Same Day Operations and Operations Planning	Medium	Revocation of access to BES Cyber Information was not accomplished for 1 or more individuals	The Responsible Entity did not revoke unneeded unescorted physical or electronic access within the	The Responsible Entity did not revoke unneeded unescorted physical or electronic access according to	The Responsible Entity did not have a documented process for initiating the unescorted physical or

April 10, 2012 Page 46 of 53

R #	Time	VRF		Violation Se	verity Levels	
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			within the specified time frame (7.3);  OR  User accounts on BES Cyber Assets were not revoked for one or more individuals within the specified time frame (7.4);  OR  User passwords on BES Cyber Asset shared accounts were not changed for one or more individuals within the specified time frame; (7.5)  OR  Following the determination and documentation of extenuating operating circumstances, passwords for shared accounts were not changed for one or	specified times in CIP- 004-5 R7 for one individual who was terminated, resigned, was reassigned, or transferred. (7.1 and 7.2)	the specified times in CIP-004-5 R7 for two individuals who were terminated, resigned, reassigned or transferred.(7.1 and 7.2)	electronic access revocation process; OR The Responsible Entity did not revoke unneeded access according to the specified times in CIP- 004-5 R7 for three or more individuals who were terminated, resigned, reassigned, or transferred. (7.1 and 7.2)

April 10, 2012 Page 47 of 53

R #	Time	VRF	Violation Severity Levels			
	Horizon		Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			more individuals			
			within 10 days			
			following the end of			
			the extenuating			
			operating			
			circumstances. (7.5)			

April 10, 2012 Page 48 of 53

# D. Regional Variances

None.

## **E.** Interpretations

None.

### **F. Associated Documents**

None.

#### **Guidelines and Technical Basis**

### **Requirement R1:**

The security awareness program is intended to be an informational program, not a formal training program. It should reference sound security practices to ensure that personnel maintain awareness of best practices for both physical and electronic security to protect its BES Cyber Systems. The Responsible Entity is not required to provide records that show that each individual received or understood the information, but they must maintain documentation of the program materials utilized in the form of posters, memos, and/or presentations.

Examples of possible mechanisms which can be used are:

- Direct communications (e.g., emails, memos, computer based training, etc.);
- Indirect communications (e.g., posters, intranet, brochures, etc.);
- Management support and reinforcement (e.g., presentations, meetings, etc.).

Guidance: Describe example mechanisms used to demonstrate the availability of this information

### **Requirement R2:**

Training shall cover the policies, access controls, and procedures as developed for the BES Cyber Systems and include, at a minimum, the required items appropriate to personnel roles and responsibilities from Table R2. The training may consist of multiple modules and multiple delivery mechanisms.

Note: Provide guidance or a local definition of "role appropriate" as it is used in this standard.

### Requirement R3:

Each Responsible Entity shall ensure all personnel who are granted authorized electronic access and/or authorized unescorted physical access to its BES Cyber Systems, including contractors and service vendors, complete cyber security training prior to their being granted authorized access, except for program specified exceptional circumstances that are approved by the single senior management official or their delegate and impact the reliability of the BES or emergency response.

NOTE: Program specified exceptional circumstances can include a specified individual to declare an emergency.

### Requirement R4 and R5:

Each Responsible Entity shall ensure a personnel risk assessment is performed for all personnel who are granted authorized electronic access and/or authorized unescorted physical access to its BES Cyber Systems, including contractors and service vendors, prior to their being granted authorized access when called for in CIP-004-1 Table R4 – Personnel Risk Assessment, except for program specified exceptional circumstances that are approved by the single senior management official or their delegate and impact the reliability of the BES or emergency response, to ensure that personnel who have such access have had their identity verified, then

been assessed for risk, in accordance with federal, state, provincial, and local laws, and subject to existing collective bargaining unit agreements.


When it is not possible to perform a full seven year criminal history check, documentation must be made of what criminal history check was performed, and the reasons a full seven-year check could not be performed. Examples of this could include individuals under the age of 25 where a juvenile criminal history may be protected by law, or individuals who may have resided in locations from where it is not possible to obtain a criminal history records check.

### **Requirement R6:**

Authorization for electronic and unescorted physical access and access to BES Cyber System Information must be on the basis of necessity in the individual performing a work function. Documentation showing the authorization should have some justification of the business need included. To ensure proper segregation of duties, access authorization and provisioning should not be performed by the same person where possible.

This requirement specifies both quarterly and annual reviews. Quarterly reviews are to perform a validation that only authorized users have been granted access to BES Cyber Systems. This is achieved by comparing individuals actually provisioned to a BES Cyber System against records of individuals authorized to the BES Cyber System. The focus of this requirement is on the integrity of provisioning access rather than individual accounts on all BES Cyber Assets. The list of provisioned individuals can be an automatically generated account listing. However, in a BES Cyber System with several account databases, the list of provisioned individuals may come from other records such as provisioning workflow or a user account database where provisioning typically initiates.

The annual privilege review is more detailed to ensure an individual's associated privileges are the minimum necessary to perform their work function (i.e., least privilege). Entities can more efficiently perform this review by implementing role-based access. This involves determining the specific roles on the system (e.g., system operator, technician, report viewer, administrator, etc.) then grouping access privileges to the role and assigning users to the role. Role-based access does not assume any specific software and can be implemented by defining specific provisioning processes for each role where access group assignments cannot be performed. Role-based access permissions eliminate the need to perform the privilege review on individual accounts. An example timeline of all the reviews in Requirement R6 is included below.



Separation of duties should be considered when performing the reviews in Requirement R6. The person reviewing should be different than the person provisioning access.

If the results of quarterly or annual account reviews indicate an administrative or clerical error in which access was not actually provisioned, then the SDT intends that this error should not be considered a violation of this requirement.

For BES Cyber Systems that do not have user accounts defined, the controls listed in Requirement R6 are not applicable. However, the Responsible Entity should document such configurations.

### Requirement R7:

The requirement to revoke access at the time of the termination action includes procedures showing revocation of access concurrent with the termination action. This requirement recognizes that the timing of the termination action may vary depending on the circumstance. Some common examples and possible processes on when the termination action occurs are provided in the following table.

Scenario	Possible Process
Immediate involuntary termination	Human resources or corporate security escorts the individual off site and the supervisor or human resources personnel notify the appropriate personnel to begin the revocation process.
Scheduled involuntary termination	Human resources personnel are notified of the termination and work with appropriate personnel to schedule the revocation of access at the time of termination.
Termination prior to notification	Human resources personnel are notified of the termination and work with appropriate personnel to schedule the revocation of access at the time of termination.
Voluntary termination	Human resources personnel are notified of the termination and work with appropriate personnel to schedule the revocation of access at the time of termination.
Retirement where the last working day is several weeks prior to the termination date	Human resources personnel coordinate with manager to determine the final date access is no longer needed and schedule the revocation of access on the determined day.
Death	Human resources personnel are notified of the death and work with appropriate personnel to begin the revocation process.

Revocation of electronic access should be understood to mean a process with the end result that electronic access to BES Cyber Systems is no longer possible using credentials assigned to

or known by the individual(s) whose access privileges are being revoked. Steps taken to accomplish this outcome may include deletion or deactivation of accounts used by the individual(s), but no specific actions are prescribed. Entities should consider the ramifications of deleting an account may include incomplete event log entries due to an unrecognized account or system services using the account to log on.

The initial revocation required in Requirement R7.1 includes unescorted physical access and Interactive Remote Access. These two actions should prevent any further access by the individual after termination. If an individual still has local access accounts on BES Cyber Assets, then the Responsible Entity has 30 days to complete the revocation process for those accounts. However, nothing prevents a Responsible Entity from performing all of the access revocation at the time of termination.

For transferred or reassigned individuals, the requirement states a review of access privileges must be performed. This review could entail a simple listing of all authorizations for an individual and working with the respective managers to determine which access will still be needed in the new position. For instances in which the individual still needs to retain access as part of a transitory period, the entity should schedule a time to review these access privileges or include the privileges in the quarterly account review or annual privilege review.

Revocation of access to shared accounts is called out separately to prevent the situation where passwords on substation and generation devices are constantly changed due to staff turnover.