

Meeting Notes

Project 2020-04 Modification to CIP-012 Standards Drafting Team

January 21, 2021 | 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Eastern

January 26, 2021 | 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Eastern

Conference Call

Administrative

1. Introductions

R. Kracke (Vice Chair) greeted everyone and reviewed the purpose of the meeting. The following standard drafting team (SDT) members were in attendance:

	Name	Entity	<u>Yes/No</u>
Chair	Robert Kracke	Southern Company Specialized Infrastructure	Y
Vice Chair	Reanne Dare	MidContinent Independent System Operator (MISO)	Y
Members	Eric Howell	SERC	Y
	Robert Melis	California Independent System Operator	Y
	Joseph Gatten	Xcel Energy	Y
	Derek Cherneski	Saskatchewan Power Corporation	Y
	Jodi Jensen	Western Area Power Administration (WAPA)	Y
	David Gatson	Arizona Public Service (APS)	Y
	Christopher White	Duke Energy	Y
NERC Staff	Latrice Harkness – Senior Standards Developer	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Y

	Nina Johnston – Legal	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	Y
	Marisa Hecht – Legal	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	N
	Joseph Baxter	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	N

2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC SDT states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present. Quorum was established as nine of the total members were present.

3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

L. Harkness reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement.

Agenda

1. Draft Standard – Proposed Edits

R. Kracke facilitated the discussion of the proposed Requirement R2. The team reviewed the current language to make any changes to the parent Requirement R2 based on feedback that the wording (“provide for”) was ambiguous. The SDT agreed to keep the language as is and to not use the word “protect” regarding the availability of communications links. R. Kracke presented proposed language for Requirement R2 subparts for review. The SDT want to make sure that the Requirement focus on maintaining data flow and not the incident recovery usage already addressed on other CIP standards. In order to make sure that the subparts met the security objective, the SDT discussed how these could be implemented in their respective plans. J. Jensen provided some examples and it was also mentioned that the examples could be outlined in the Technical Rationale and Implementation Guidance. L. Harkness reminded the SDT that the intent of the Requirements can also be explained in the Technical Rationale. The SDT agreed that the Violation Risk Factor (VRF) should be Medium for Requirement R2. The Violation Severity Level (VSL) was written to mirror the VSL in Requirement R1.

2. Supporting Documentation

L. Harkness provided an overview of the documents for posting. A subgroup was formed to draft the Technical Rationale. The subgroup members are D. Gatson, J. Jensen, R. Dare, and S. Keller. The SDT developed the Implementation Plan and determined a timeframe of 24-months. The team will review the VRF/VSL Justification prior to posting.

3. Action Items

- Subgroup to draft Technical Rationale

4. Future Meetings

a. TBD

5. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 2:23 p.m. Eastern on January 26, 2021.