

Comment Report

Project Name: Regional Reliability Standard | PRC-006-5 WECC Variance
Comment Period Start Date: 5/21/2020
Comment Period End Date: 7/6/2020
Associated Ballots:

There were 11 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 15 different people from approximately 12 companies representing 5 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

1. Do you agree the proposed Variance was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?
2. Does the proposed Variance pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?
3. Does the proposed Variance pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?
4. Does the proposed Variance pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?
5. Does the proposed Variance meet at least one of the following criteria?
 - The proposed variance has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
 - The proposed variance has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide reliability standard.
 - The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the bulk power system.

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County	Ginette Lacasse	1,3,5,6	WECC	PUD #1 Chelan	Meaghan Connell	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County	5	WECC
					Joyce Gundry	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County	3	WECC
					Davis Jelusich	Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County	6	WECC
					Ginette Lacasse	public Utility Distric No 1 of Chelan	1	WECC

1. Do you agree the proposed Variance was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?

Jennie Wike - Tacoma Public Utilities (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Rahn Petersen - PNM Resources - Texas-New Mexico Power Co. - NA - Not Applicable - WECC

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Bruce Reimer - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6

Answer Yes

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - 1,5	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Maryanne Darling-Reich - Black Hills Corporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Matthew Nutsch - Seattle City Light - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

Response

Sam Rugel - Unisource - Tucson Electric Power Co. - 1

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sandra Shaffer - Berkshire Hathaway - PacifiCorp - 6

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 1,3,5,6

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Ginette Lacasse - Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County - 1,3,5,6, Group Name PUD #1 Chelan

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

2. Does the proposed Variance pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?

Ginette Lacasse - Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County - 1,3,5,6, Group Name PUD #1 Chelan

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 1,3,5,6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sandra Shaffer - Berkshire Hathaway - PacifiCorp - 6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sam Rugel - Unisource - Tucson Electric Power Co. - 1

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response**Matthew Nutsch - Seattle City Light - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC****Answer**

No

Document Name**Comment**

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response**Maryanne Darling-Reich - Black Hills Corporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC****Answer**

No

Document Name**Comment**

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response**Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - 1,5****Answer**

No

Document Name**Comment**

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Bruce Reimer - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Rahn Petersen - PNM Resources - Texas-New Mexico Power Co. - NA - Not Applicable - WECC

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Jennie Wike - Tacoma Public Utilities (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

3. Does the proposed Variance pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?

Jennie Wike - Tacoma Public Utilities (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Rahn Petersen - PNM Resources - Texas-New Mexico Power Co. - NA - Not Applicable - WECC

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Bruce Reimer - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response**Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - 1,5****Answer**

No

Document Name**Comment**

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response**Maryanne Darling-Reich - Black Hills Corporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC****Answer**

No

Document Name**Comment**

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response**Matthew Nutsch - Seattle City Light - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC****Answer**

No

Document Name**Comment**

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sam Rugel - Unisource - Tucson Electric Power Co. - 1

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sandra Shaffer - Berkshire Hathaway - PacifiCorp - 6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 1,3,5,6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Ginette Lacasse - Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County - 1,3,5,6, Group Name PUD #1 Chelan

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

4. Does the proposed Variance pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?

Ginette Lacasse - Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County - 1,3,5,6, Group Name PUD #1 Chelan

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 1,3,5,6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sandra Shaffer - Berkshire Hathaway - PacifiCorp - 6

Answer No

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sam Rugel - Unisource - Tucson Electric Power Co. - 1

Answer No

Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Matthew Nutsch - Seattle City Light - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Maryanne Darling-Reich - Black Hills Corporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - 1,5	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

Response	
Bruce Reimer - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes	0
Dislikes	0

Response	
Rahn Petersen - PNM Resources - Texas-New Mexico Power Co. - NA - Not Applicable - WECC	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes	0
Dislikes	0

Response	
Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes	0
Dislikes	0

Response	
Jennie Wike - Tacoma Public Utilities (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC	
Answer	No
Document Name	

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

5. Does the proposed Variance meet at least one of the following criteria?

- The proposed variance has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
- The proposed variance has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide reliability standard.
- The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the bulk power system.

Jennie Wike - Tacoma Public Utilities (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Rahn Petersen - PNM Resources - Texas-New Mexico Power Co. - NA - Not Applicable - WECC

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Bruce Reimer - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - 1,5

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Maryanne Darling-Reich - Black Hills Corporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Matthew Nutsch - Seattle City Light - 1,3,4,5,6 - WECC

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sam Rugel - Unisource - Tucson Electric Power Co. - 1

Answer

Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 1,3,5,6

Answer

Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Ginette Lacasse - Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County - 1,3,5,6, Group Name PUD #1 Chelan

Answer

Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

Sandra Shaffer - Berkshire Hathaway - PacifiCorp - 6

Answer

Document Name

Comment

PacifiCorp appreciates the opportunity to participate but has no additional comments.

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response