

Comment Report

Project Name: SERC Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure
Comment Period Start Date: 10/7/2020
Comment Period End Date: 11/20/2020
Associated Ballots:

There was 1 set of responses, including comments from approximately 4 different people from 1 company representing 4 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

1. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Open” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
2. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Inclusive” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
3. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Balanced” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
4. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Due Process” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
5. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Transparent” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
Duke Energy	Kim Thomas	1,3,5,6	FRCC,RF,SERC	Duke Energy	Laura Lee	Duke Energy	1	SERC
					Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	5	SERC
					Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	6	RF

1. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Open” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

2. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Inclusive” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy

Answer

Yes

Document Name

Comment

Duke Energy is uncertain whether SERC has applied the use of **SERC Board of Directors** and **SERC Board Executive Committee** consistently throughout the RSDP. Please consider the following comments and reconcile appropriately as needed:

- On p. 4 of the redline document, Section **3.0 Review and Re-approval Requirements**:

Should *SERC Board of Directors* be changed to *SERC Board Executive Committee*?

Also, the footer on each page of the procedure has been changed to SERC Board Executive Committee which contradicts Section 3.0.

- On p. 5 of the redline document, Section **5.0 Process Roles**:

Throughout the procedure, it appears SERC has changed *SERC Board of Directors* to *SERC Board Executive Committee* - is this appropriate?

Does *SERC Board Executive Committee* need to be added to Section **5.0 Process Roles**?

- On p. 21 of the redline document, Section **iii. Maintenance of the SERC Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure**:

Should *SERC Board of Directors* be changed to *SERC Board Executive Committee*? (third paragraph)

- On p. 32 of the redline document, **Interpretations**:

Should this be changed back to *SERC Board Executive Committee*? (third paragraph)

- On pp. 39-41 of the redline document, **Appendix H Roles and Responsibilities Chart**:

Consider modifying the header from *SERC Board of Directors* to *SERC Board Executive Committee*.

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

3. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Balanced” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

4. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Due Process” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response

5. Do you agree the revised *SERC RSDP* continues to meet the “Transparent” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy

Answer Yes

Document Name

Comment

Likes 0

Dislikes 0

Response