Review of TPL-001-0.1—System Performance Under Normal Conditions (Filing 2)

http://www.nerc.com/files/TPL-001-0 1.pdf

VSLs for Requirement R1:

Standard,	Requirement	Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	Comments
Require-	Language					
ment						
TPL-001-	The Planning	The responsible	The	The responsible	The responsible	FERC staff was
0.1, R1	Authority and	entity has failed	responsible	entity is non-	entity did not	concerned that failing
	Transmission Planner	to demonstrate	entity has	compliant with	perform the	to address R1.3.7
	shall each	a valid	failed to	three of the sub-	transmission	represents a more
	demonstrate through	assessment for	demonstrate a	components of	assessments	significant violation
	a valid assessment	the long-term	valid	requirement R1.3.	annually. (R1.1)	than failing to address
	that its portion of the	period, but a	assessment for	(R1.3.1 through		R1.3's other
	interconnected	valid	the near-term	R1.3.6, R1.3.8, or	OR	subrequirements,
	transmission system	assessment for	period, but a	R1.3.9)		because R1.3.7 is a
	is planned such that,	the near-term	valid		The responsible	required level of
	with all transmission	period exists. (R	assessment for	OR	entity has failed to	system performance,
	facilities in service	1.2)	the long-term		demonstrate a valid	not a parameter like
	and with normal		period exists.	The responsible	assessment for the	the other R1.3
	(pre-contingency)	OR	(R1.2)	entity is non-	near-term period and	subrequirements.
	operating procedures			compliant with	long-term planning	
	in effect, the	The responsible	OR	subcomponent	period. (R1.2)	NERC staff agrees that
	Network can be	entity is non-		R1.3.7 of R1.3.		R1.3.7 is distinct from
	operated to supply	compliant with	The		OR	the other R1.3
	projected customer	one of the sub-	responsible			subrequirements and
	demands and	components of	entity is non-		The responsible	separated non-
	projected Firm (non-	requirement	compliant with		entity is non-	compliance with
	recallable reserved)	R1.3. (R1.3.1	two of the sub-		compliant with four	R1.3.7 out as its own
	Transmission	through R1.3.6,	components of		or more of the sub-	violation, assigned a
	Services at all	R1.3.8, or	requirement		components of	High VSL.
	Demand levels over	R1.3.9)	R1.3. (R1.3.1		requirement R1.3.	

the range of forecast	through R1.3.6,	(R1.3.1 through	
system demands,	R1.3.8, or	1.3.9)	
under the conditions	R1.3.9)	,	
defined in Category A		OR	
of Table I. To be			
considered valid, the		The responsible	
Planning Authority		entity has failed to	
and Transmission		demonstrate that a	
Planner assessments		corrective action plan	
shall:		exists in order to	
		satisfy Category A	
R1.1. Be made		planning	
annually.		requirements. (R1.4)	
R1.2. Be conducted			
for near-term (years			
one through five) and			
longer-term (years			
six through ten)			
planning horizons.			
R1.3. Be supported			
by a current or past			
study and/or system			
simulation testing			
that addresses each			
of the following			
categories, showing			
system performance			
following Category A			
of Table 1 (no			
contingencies). The			
specific elements			
selected (from each			

of the following categories) shall be acceptable to the associated Regional Reliability Organization(s).			
R1.3.1. Cover critical system conditions and study years as deemed appropriate by the entity performing the study.			
R1.3.2. Be conducted annually unless changes to system conditions do not warrant such analyses.			
R1.3.3. Be conducted beyond the five-year horizon only as needed to address identified marginal conditions that may have longer leadtime solutions.			
R1.3.4. Have established normal (pre-contingency)			

operating procedures in place.			
R1.3.5. Have all			
projected firm			
transfers modeled.			
R1.3.6. Be performed			
for selected demand			
levels over the range			
of forecast system			
demands.			
R1.3.7. Demonstrate			
that system			
performance meets			
Table 1 for Category			
A (no contingencies).			
R1.3.8. Include			
existing and planned			
facilities.			
R1.3.9. Include			
Reactive Power			
resources to ensure			
that adequate			
reactive resources			
are available to meet			
system performance.			
R1.4. Address any			
planned upgrades			
needed to meet the			

performance			
requirements of			
Category A.			

Original R1 Guideline Explanation in the <u>December 1, 2010 VSL Filing</u>:

The VSLS were modified to be consistent with Guideline 3. Consistent with Guidelines filed with FERC on August 10, 2009, the VSLDT incorporated the subrequirements into the main requirement VSL so that compliance is based on meeting criteria specified in components.

- Guideline 1: See P. 1033-1035 of the Guideline 1 Analysis Filing.
- Guideline 2: The VSLs comply with Guideline 2. The requirement has gradated VSLs; therefore, Guideline 2a is not applicable. The gradated VSLs ensure uniformity and consistency among all approved Reliability Standards in the determination of penalties. Therefore, no changes to the VSLs were required for consistency with FERC Guideline 2. Additionally, the VSL DT has reviewed the VSL text and has determined that, as written, the VSL text is clear, specific and objective and does not contain general, relative or subjective language, satisfying Guideline 2b. Therefore, the text is not subject to the possibility of multiple interpretations of the VSLs and provides the clarity needed to permit the consistent and objective application of the VSLs in the determination of penalties by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.
- Guideline 3: In accordance with Guideline 3, the VSL DT has revised the VSL assignments as noted in the redline text because the VSL assignments either redefined or undermined the requirement. It was identified that the previous VSLs for R1.3.2 and R1.3.8 evaluated aspects of the near-term and long-term planning horizons that were not consistent with the requirement. As revised, and incorporated into the roll-up VSLs, the VSL assignments are consistent with the requirement and the degree of compliance can be determined objectively and with certainty.
- Guideline 4: The VSL Assignments comply with Guideline 4, because they are based on a single violation of a Reliability Standard and are not based on a cumulative number of violations of the same requirement over a period of time.