

August 1, 2014

David Erickson
President and Chief Executive Officer
Alberta Electric System Operator
2500, 330 - 5 Avenue SW
Calgary, Alberta
T2P 0L4

Re: Revisions to the Violation Risk Factors and Violation Severity Levels Assigned to Certain Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards

Dear Mr. Erickson:

The North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") submits proposed revisions to certain Violation Risk Factors ("VRFs") and Violations Severity Levels ("VSLs") assigned to certain Critical Infrastructure Protection ("CIP") Reliability Standards. Specifically, NERC proposes revisions to the VRFs assigned to:

- CIP-006-5, Requirement R3
- CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4

NERC is also proposing revisions to the VSLs assigned to:

- CIP-003-5, Requirements R1 and R2
- CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4
- CIP-008-5, Requirement R2
- CIP-009-5, Requirement R3

The proposed VRF and VSL revisions are just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest.

#### I. Background

In Order No. 791<sup>1</sup>, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") approved new and modified CIP Reliability Standards, referred to as the CIP version 5 Reliability Standards. Among other things, FERC directed NERC to file revisions to certain VRFs and VSLs assigned to certain CIP Reliability

3353 Peachtree Road NE Suite 600, North Tower Atlanta, GA 30326 404-446-2560 | www.nerc.com

Version 5 Critical Infrastructure Protection Reliability Standards, Order No. 791, 145 FERC ¶ 61,160 (2013).

Standards.<sup>2</sup> In particular, FERC directed NERC to modify the VRF assignments for Reliability Standards CIP-006-5, Requirement R3 and CIP-004-5, Requirement R4 from Lower to Medium.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, FERC directed "modification to the VSLs for certain CIP Reliability Standards to: (1) remove the "identify, assess, and correct" language from the text of the VSLs for the affected requirements; (2) address typographical errors; and (3) clarify certain unexplained elements."<sup>4</sup>

FERC directed NERC to file the VRF revisions within 90 days of the effective date of Order No. 791. FERC did not set a timeframe for filing the VSL modifications. On March 19, 2014, FERC granted NERC an extension of time until May 15, 2014 to file the VRF modifications. The NERC Board of Trustees approved the proposed VRF and VSL revisions on May 7, 2014.

#### II. Notices and Communications

Notices and communications with respect to this filing may be addressed to the following:

Holly A. Hawkins
Assistant General Counsel
Shamai Elstein
Counsel
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
1325 G St., NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
202-400-3000
holly.hawkins@nerc.net
shamai.elstein@nerc.net

### III. Proposed VRF and VSL Revisions

Consistent with FERC's directives in Order No. 791, NERC is proposing to modify (i) the VRFs for CIP-006-5, Requirement R3 and CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4; and (ii) the VSLs for CIP-003-5, Requirements R1 and R2; CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4; CIP-008-5, Requirement R2; and CIP-009-5, Requirement R3. The following is a discussion of each of the proposed VRF and VSL Revisions.

With respect to the directive to modify the VSLs associated with the requirements that include the "identify, assess, and correct" language, NERC will submit such modifications when it modifies those requirements consistent with FERC's directive from Order No. 791 to remove or modify that language.

5

<sup>5</sup> *Id.* at PP 184, 196.

Order No. 791 at PP 181-84, 192-196, 205-210.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.* at PP 181-84, 192-196.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* at P 205-210.

Notice of Extension of Time, Docket No. RM13-5-000 (March 19, 2014).

There is a NERC standards development project, Project 2014-02 CIP Version 5 Revisions, underway to address FERC directives from Order No. 791.

# a. VSL for CIP-003-5, Requirements R1, R2 and R4

Reliability Standard CIP-003-5 addresses security management controls for cyber security. Requirement R1 of that standard governs management approval of policies on topics addressed in other CIP standards for medium and high impact BES Cyber Systems. Requirement R2 governs policies for low impact BES Cyber Systems. Consistent with the FERC directive, NERC proposes to revise the VSLs in CIP-003-5, Requirements R1 and R2 to eliminate redundant language.

FERC also directed NERC to clarify that the VSLs assigned to Requirement R4 of CIP-003-5 include timeline gradations as set forth in the VSL contained in Exhibit A to the CIP version 5 Reliability Standards filing. NERC hereby clarifies that VSLs for CIP-003-5, Requirement R4 include the timeline gradations reflected in Exhibit A to the CIP version 5 filing and as reflected in Attachment A hereto.

### b. VRF and VSL for CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4

Reliability Standard CIP-004-5.1 addresses cyber security personnel and training requirements, and Requirement R4 of that standard obligates a responsible entity to have a process for authorizing access to BES Cyber System Information, including periodic verification that users and accounts are authorized and necessary. Consistent with FERC's directive, NERC proposes to revise the VRF for CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4 from a "Lower" designation to a "Medium" designation. Additionally, NERC proposes to revise the VSL assignment for CIP-004-5.1, Requirement R4 to a percentage-based gradation consistent with FERC's directive. 10

### c. VRF for CIP-006-5, Requirement R3

Reliability Standard CIP-006-5 addresses physical security of BES Cyber Systems, and Requirement R3 of that standard governs implementation of Physical Access Control System maintenance and testing programs. Consistent with FERC's directive, <sup>11</sup> NERC proposes to revise the VRF for CIP-006-5, Requirement R3 from a "Lower" designation to a "Medium" designation.

## d. VSL for CIP-008-5, Requirement R2

<sup>9</sup> *Id.* at PP 192-196.

<sup>11</sup> *Id.* at PP 181-184.

Order No. 791 at P 206.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id.* at P 207.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id.* at P 208.

Reliability Standard CIP-008-5 addresses incident reporting and response planning for cyber security. Requirement R2 of that standard governs implementation of documented Cyber Security Incident response plans. Consistent with FERC's directive, <sup>12</sup> NERC proposed to revise the severe VSL to reduce a gap in months between the high VSL and severe VSL.

#### e. CIP-009-5, Requirement R3

Reliability Standard CIP-009-5 addresses recovery plans for BES Cyber Systems. Requirement R3 of that standard governs maintenance of the recovery plans. Consistent with FERC's directive, <sup>13</sup> NERC proposed to revise the timeframes contained in the VSLs from 90-210 days to 90-120 days, where appropriate.

#### IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the proposed VRF and VSL revisions are just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest.

NERC understands the AESO may adopt the proposed reliability standards subject to Alberta legislation, principally as established in the *Transmission Regulation* ("the T Reg."). Briefly, it is NERC's understanding that the T Reg. requires the following with regard to the adoption in Alberta of a NERC Reliability Standard:

- 1. The AESO must consult with those market participants that it considers are likely to be directly affected.
- 2. The AESO must forward the proposed reliability standards to the Alberta Utilities Commission for review, along with the AESO's recommendation that the Commission approve or reject them.
- 3. The Commission must follow the recommendation of the AESO that the Commission approve or reject the proposed reliability standards unless an interested person satisfies the Commission that the AESO's recommendation is "technically deficient" or "not in the public interest."

Further, NERC has been advised by the AESO that the AESO practice with respect to the adoption of a NERC Reliability Standard includes a review of the NERC Reliability Standard for applicability to Alberta legislation and electric industry practice. NERC has been advised that, while the objective is to adhere as closely as possible to the requirements of the NERC Reliability Standard, each NERC Reliability Standard approved in Alberta (called an "Alberta reliability standard") generally varies from the similar and related NERC Reliability Standard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Id.* at P 209.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> *Id.* at P 210.



NERC requests the AESO consider the proposed VRF and VSL revisions for adoption in Alberta as an "Alberta reliability standard(s)," subject to the required procedures and legislation of Alberta.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

/S. Shamai Elstein

Holly A. Hawkins
Assistant General Counsel
Shamai Elstein
Counsel
North American Electric Reliability Corporation
1325 G St., NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
202-400-3000
holly.hawkins@nerc.net
shamai.elstein@nerc.net

# **ATTACHMENT A – Proposed VRF and VSL Revisions**

(Available on the NERC Website at

http://www.nerc.com/FilingsOrders/ca/Canadian%20Filings%20and%20Orders%20DL/CIP\_VRF\_VSL\_filing.pdf)