



August 23, 2010

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: NERC Notice of Penalty regarding City of Tallahassee  
FERC Docket No. NP10-143-000

Dear Ms. Bose:

On July 30, 2010, the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (“NERC”) submitted a Notice of Penalty regarding violation of Reliability Standards MOD-006-0 Requirement (R) 2, BAL-003-0 R2.1 and BAL-001-0 R1 for City of Tallahassee. By this filing, NERC supplements the record by providing an e-mail correspondence from the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (“SCADA”) vendor to Dave Tidwell that was reference in the Mitigation Plans for BAL-003-0 R2.1 and BAL-001-0 R1.

Accordingly, NERC respectfully requests that the Commission accept this supplemental filing and issue an order consistent with the comments provided herein.

Respectfully submitted,

*/s/ Rebecca J. Michael*  
Rebecca J. Michael

*Attorney for North American Electric  
Reliability Corporation*

Enclosure: e-mail correspondence

cc: Official service list in Docket No. NP10-143-000

**Gale, Alan**

---

**From:** Tidwell, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 19, 2008 9:52 AM  
**To:** Gale, Alan  
**Cc:** Foster, Rusty  
**Subject:** FW: Loss of historical data

Alan and Rusty,

The following email from GE is a explanation of how we lost our historical data collections from 2007. Basically, the upgrade to the new XA/21 release reset the collection periods of our historical data to one week. This has been explained to me to be the default values that are used during the original system factory acceptance testing.

Per our system specification, the original XA/21 system was delivered with one year data retention on our collections.

The upgrade resized the database to one week, resulting in the loss of 2007 data.

You may notice we have reset the collections to 6 months (per Greg's email). We reduced the collection period since we now archive all XA/21 collections to our sequel server data (COT data warehouse).

Dave Tidwell

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sirney, Greg (GE Infra, Energy) [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 19, 2008 8:28 AM  
**To:** Tidwell, David  
**Cc:** Spatz, Al (GE Infra, Energy)  
**Subject:** Loss of historical data

Dave,

As per your request, here is an explanation as to what happened to the point collection historical data after the system cutover. When the system was cutover the week of May 18, 2008, historical data was captured from the old system and loaded onto the new system. Later it was discovered that the retention period on the new system was only 1 week so I instructed Kenny Danner how to modify. I watched as he changed the retention from 1 week to 6 months. Some time later it was discovered that historical data was missing. When checking the configured retention periods it was discovered that they were again set to 1 week, so that as time went on all data older than 1 week was truncated. We once again set the retention period to a longer duration and this has remained and no further problems occurred.

I do not have an explanation for how the retention period reverted back to 1 week. The only thing that makes sense is that the original change was never properly saved though there was no indication that this was the case. In the future I suggest that after changing retentions, completely exit the HISR Administration form then start a new form and check that the retention is still correct.

If you have any questions concerning this issue please do not hesitate to contact me.

**Greg Sirney**



11/20/2008