

## Minutes

### Real-time Monitoring and Analysis Capabilities Leadership Meeting – Project 2009-02

August 30, 2010

#### 1. Administration – Ed Dobrowolski

The meeting was called to order at 0900 EDT on Monday, August 30, 2010 at the NERC offices in Princeton, NJ.

##### a. NERC Anti-trust Guidelines

Ed reminded everyone that the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines were in effect for this meeting. He also stated that the recent NERC Legal staff warnings on public meetings should be heeded.

##### b. Introductions

Present at the meeting were: Dave Taylor, NERC staff; Ed Dobrowolski, NERC staff coordinator; Sam Brattini, SDT Chair; Chuck Abell, SDT Vice Chair; and Jerry Whooley, SDT member.

##### c. Review Agenda

The agenda was reviewed and no changes were made.

#### 2. Roles in Process – David Taylor

Dave presented a Power Point outlining the roles that the different parties in the process will play during the course of the project.

#### 3. Project Overview – Ed Dobrowolski

##### a. Review SAR

The SAR was reviewed so that everyone was up to speed on what was actually posted.

##### b. Discussion of project objectives and potential challenges

It will be especially important for this project to define the scope and objectives up front. All SDT members must be working toward the same

goal. Part of the results-based standards effort is to define the needs and objectives of a project prior to writing any requirements.

- c. Awareness of other activities involving relevant standards

Both Project 2006-06 Reliability Coordination & Project 2007-03 Real-time Operations have capability elements that should be considered by the SDT.

#### **4. Project Schedule – Ed Dobrowolski**

The schedule that was developed during the SAR phase of the project was based on older guidelines and will need to be updated. This should be done prior to the Kickoff Meeting.

#### **5. Open Discussion**

During the discussion, several points were raised that will need to be taken into consideration for the project:

- An open question is just how prescriptive the standard(s) needs to be.
- There is a perceived reluctance from the industry to measure performance in this area.
- The SC now considers this as a high priority project and it must adhere to the reporting rules for those types of projects.
- There is an open roster spot. Members from the NW and SE would be particularly welcomed. Smaller TOP's would also make a good addition to the project team. The Transmission Forum may be fertile ground for recruitment.
- There is an analogous effort underway in the Transmission Forum. It would be beneficial for the SDT to see the documents created there. However, the Forum documents are confidential and presently can't be released to non-members.
- Members should be reminded that Canada is a possible meeting location and they should have the proper paperwork for entering Canada.
- NERC will not sponsor conference call facilities for SDT meetings.
- Legal and Compliance staffs should be part of the NERC staff review process – the earlier the better.
- Remote access to needed data will need to be considered when defining metrics.
- The SDT should not lose sight of the Blackout Report recommendations.
- Another issue to be considered is the timeframe over which any metrics will be measured.

- Due to the complexity of the issue, the SDT may want to consider developing a white paper explaining the concept in general without establishing any numeric metrics as its first posting.

**6. Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 1330 EDT.