Conference Call Notes for Project 2009-02 Real-time Tools SAR DT

Tuesday, September 15, 2009 | 1 p.m. EDT

1. Administrative Items

    a. Introductions
The call was brought to order by the Chair on Tuesday, September 15, 2009 at 1 p.m. EDT. Call participants were:

Chuck Abell, Vice Chair	Sam Brattini, Chair	Greg Campbell
Jay Dondetti	Vinit Gupta	Jack Kerr
Mike Richardson	Robert Savage	Jerry Whooley
Tom Bradish, Observer	Jim Hartwell, Observer	Steve Hedden, Observer
Scott Sells, FERC Observer	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC	

    b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines — Ed Dobrowolski
There were no questions raised on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines.

    c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — Sam Brattini
There were 3 main objectives for this call: (1) finalize the SAR revisions, (2) finalize the comment responses, and (3) develop the question set for the second posting of the SAR.

2. Finalize SAR Revisions

A question was raised on the removal of the terms 'alarming', 'telemetry', and 'network analysis'. These terms were removed because commenters indicated that they may be too limiting, for providing greater flexibility to an eventual SDT, to provide better emphasis on functionality, and because the terms have a certain amount of negative baggage associated with them and seemed to be causing confusion.

A second question was on the addition of the term 'revising' standards instead of simply 'developing' standards. This was done to provide the eventual SDT with the maximum amount of flexibility in their work and in response to multiple commenters who asked for this change.

With some minor wording changes, the SAR revisions were accepted.

3. Finalize Comment Responses

The SAR DT reviewed the response to the City of Tallahassee for question 10 that had the comment chopped off in mid-sentence in the original draft. The response was accepted.

With some minor wording changes, the comment responses were accepted.

4. Develop Questions for Next Posting

Two questions were developed. They will be sent out through the mail server for SAR DT comment with the intent of wrapping things up by the close of business on Tuesday, September 22, 2009.

AI — Ed will distribute the draft questions for the second posting of the SAR.

AI — SAR DT members will comment on the questions via the mail server with finalization no later than the close of business on Tuesday, September 22, 2009.

5. Next Steps — Sam Brattini

Once the questions for the second posting of the SAR are finalized, the documents will be submitted to NERC staff for review and posting.

6. Next Meeting

Based on the project schedule, a face to face meeting to develop the response to comments was scheduled for Tuesday, December 1, 2009 and Wednesday, December 2, 2009. Wednesday will be a ½ day. Bob Savage will look into hosting the meeting at APS. Location of the meeting and logistical details will be supplied.

AI – Bob Savage to respond as to whether APS can host the December meeting.

7. Action Items and Schedule — Ed Dobrowolski

The following action items were developed during this call:

- Ed will distribute the draft questions for the second posting of the SAR.
- SAR DT members will comment on the questions via the mail server with finalization no later than the close of business on Tuesday, September 22, 2009.
- Ed will correct the errors in the schedule and re-issue it to the SAR DT.
- Bob Savage to respond as to whether APS can host the December meeting.

The draft schedule was reviewed. There is an inconsistency between the tasks for the second and third postings of the SAR. Also, line 49 for the second posting of the draft standard should be 30 days instead of 45.

Webinars are not mandatory and would be used to explain the SDT position on various items with the goal of educating the industry and perhaps deflecting some comments by answering the questions up front.

There is no FERC Order concerning this project but the schedule still shows periodic meetings with FERC staff. This is to make certain that FERC staff is kept informed of the project progress and that any problems are caught early on.

Now that the schedule has been agreed upon, it will be posted and the Standards Committee will be kept up to date on the project progress versus the schedule.

AI – Ed will correct the errors in the schedule and re-issue it to the SAR DT.

8. **Adjourn**
   The call was adjourned at 3:15 EDT.