

## Conference Call Notes for Project 2010-11 TPL Table 1

### 1. Administrative Items

#### a. Introductions and Quorum

The Chair brought the call to order at 1300 EDT on Friday, October 22, 2010.  
Call participants were:

Bill Harm	Doug Hohlbaugh, Vice Chair	Bob Jones
Ron Mazur	John Odom, Chair	Bernie Pasternack
Bob Pierce	Chifong Thomas	Dana Walters
Ray Kershaw, Observer	Ruth Kloecker, Observer	Chuck Lawrence, Observer
Charles Long, Observer	Curt Stepanek, Observer	Hari Singh, Observer
Terry Harbour, Guest	Eugene Blick, FERC Observer	Ed Dobrowolski, NERC

#### b. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines & Meeting Announcement – Ed Dobrowolski

There were no questions on the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and the warning on open conference calls was delivered.

#### c. Conference Call Agenda and Objectives — John Odom

The objective of the call was to develop the response to informal industry comments and make any corresponding changes to the footnote in order to post for ballot.

### 2. Draft Responses to Posting Comments

Using John's e-mail as a starting point, the SDT reviewed the informal industry comments.

There were some commenters who suggested moving back to the temporary radial concept from the previous work and/or establishing a hard limit for the amount of Demand that could be dropped. The SDT discussed this and decided that it was not the right path to pursue. The temporary radial concept was seen as too hard to define. Any attempt to utilize this type of limit would almost certainly lead to interpretations of what was meant and create downstream problems. The hard limit was seen as a possible de facto allowance of shedding Demand and the SDT did not feel that this was the message to deliver to the industry. In addition, it was seen as extremely difficult to come up with a number that could be used nation-wide. In addition, the proposed stakeholder process is seen as a de facto limit.

There were 3 main areas of concern expressed in the comments:

- Use of ‘Demand’ vs. ‘Load’: The SDT agreed that Demand was the correct terminology based on the existing wording in the approved standards and the definition in the NERC Glossary.
- Firm transfer vs. Firm Transmission Service: Again, the SDT agreed that firm transfer is the correct terminology.
- Possible confusion over whether the firm transfer paragraph referred to pre- or post-Contingency operations. The SDT reviewed the wording in paragraph 2 and believes it to be correct. No changes were made.

The SDT deleted any references to future commitments in Project 2006-02 as it seemed to be causing some concern and confusion with the commenters.

### **3. Draft Revisions to Standards**

The SDT made a change to the objective statement in response to industry concerns. FERC staff expressed the opinion that the change made the statement too soft.

Two options were presented for SDT consideration. Option 1 created a bulleted list to clarify the intent of the SDT but did not make the changes proposed by the industry. Option 2 maintained the existing format of the footnote and deleted the acceptance format. The SDT selected option 2 and made some clarifying changes.

The SDT feels that an entity does not have the certainty of acceptance from all stakeholders thus creating confusion as to how to proceed. The final acceptance comes from the local regulators anyway and the process described in the footnote does not change that. FERC staff is still concerned about how this process would be governed.

#### 4. **Next Steps — John Odom**

Doug will issue a revised summary response to comments by noon on Monday, October 25, 2010. SDT members will have until close of business on Tuesday, October 26, 2010 to make any comments.

The goal is to submit the documents to NERC staff no later than Friday, October 29, 2010 with the recommendation to go to ballot.

#### 5. **Action Items and Schedule – Ed Dobrowolski**

Action items developed during this call were:

- Doug to submit summary responses by Noon on Monday, October 25, 2010.
- SDT members to comment by close of business on Tuesday, October 26, 2010.

The project is on schedule at this time.

#### 6. **Adjourn**

The Chair adjourned the call at 1600 EDT.