

Standard Development Timeline

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

Description of Current Draft

This is the first draft of proposed standard for formal a 45-day comment period.

<u>Completed Actions</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>Standards Committee approved Standards Authorization Request (SAR) for posting</u>	<u>July 22, 2020</u>
<u>SAR posted for comment</u>	<u>February 19 – March 19, 2020</u>
<u>SAR posted for comment</u>	<u>April 22 – May 21, 2020</u>
<u>45-day initial formal comment period with ballot</u>	<u>January 27 – March 12, 2021</u>
<u>25-day initial formal comment period with ballot</u>	<u>April 2 – April 27, 2021</u>
<u>10-day final ballot</u>	<u>May 18-28, 2021</u>

<u>Anticipated Actions</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>NERC Board (Board) adoption</u>	<u>June 2021</u>

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Reliability Coordinator Data Specification and Collection
2. **Number:** IRO-010-~~34~~
3. **Purpose:** To prevent instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading outages that adversely impact reliability, by ensuring the Reliability Coordinator has the data it needs to monitor and assess the operation of its Reliability Coordinator Area.
4. **Applicability**
 - 4.1. Reliability Coordinator~~;~~
 - 4.2. Balancing Authority~~;~~
 - 4.3. Generator Owner~~;~~
 - 4.4. Generator Operator~~;~~
 - 4.5. Transmission Operator~~;~~
 - 4.6. Transmission Owner~~;~~
 - 4.7. Distribution Provider~~;~~
5. **Effective Date:** See Implementation Plan~~—~~ for Project 2019-06.

B. Requirements

- R1. The Reliability Coordinator shall maintain a documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments. The data specification shall include but not be limited to: *(Violation Risk Factor: Low) (Time Horizon: Operations Planning)*
 - 1.1. A list of data and information needed by the Reliability Coordinator to support its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments including non-BES data and external network data, as deemed necessary by the Reliability Coordinator.
 - 1.2. Provisions for notification of current Protection System and ~~Special Protection System~~ Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) status or degradation that impacts System reliability.
 - 1.3. Provisions for notification of BES generating unit(s) during local forecasted cold weather to include:
 - 1.3.1 Operating limitations based on:
 - 1.3.1.1. capability and availability;
 - 1.3.1.2. fuel supply and inventory concerns;
 - 1.3.1.3. fuel switching capabilities; and
 - 1.3.1.4. environmental constraints

1.3.2. Generating unit(s) minimum:

1.3.2.1. design temperature; or

1.3.2.2. historical operating temperature; or

1.3.2.3. current cold weather performance temperature determined by an engineering analysis.

1.4. A periodicity for providing data.

1.5. The deadline by which the respondent is to provide the indicated data.

- M1.** The Reliability Coordinator shall make available its dated, current, in force documented specification for data.
- R2.** The Reliability Coordinator shall distribute its data specification to entities that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments. (*Violation Risk Factor: Low*) (*Time Horizon: Operations Planning*)
- M2.** The Reliability Coordinator shall make available evidence that it has distributed its data specification to entities that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments. This evidence could include but is not limited to web postings with an electronic notice of the posting, dated operator logs, voice recordings, postal receipts showing the recipient, date and contents, or e-mail records.
- R3.** Each Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, Generator Owner, Generator Operator, Transmission Operator, Transmission Owner, and Distribution Provider receiving a data specification in Requirement R2 shall satisfy the obligations of the documented specifications using: (*Violation Risk Factor: Medium*) (*Time Horizon: Operations Planning, Same-Day Operations, Real-time Operations*)
- 3.1.** A mutually agreeable format
- 3.2.** A mutually agreeable process for resolving data conflicts
- 3.3.** A mutually agreeable security protocol
- M3.** The Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, Generator Owner, Generator Operator, Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, Transmission Owner, and Distribution Provider receiving a data specification in Requirement R2 shall make available evidence that it satisfied the obligations of the documented specification using the specified criteria. –Such evidence could include but is not limited to electronic or hard copies of data transmittals or attestations of receiving entities.

C. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority: ~~“Compliance Enforcement Authority”~~
~~As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, “Compliance Enforcement Authority”~~
(CEA) means NERC or the Regional Entity, or any entity as otherwise designated by an
Applicable Governmental Authority, in their respective roles of monitoring and or
enforcing compliance with the ~~NERC~~mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards
in their respective jurisdictions.

~~1.2 Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes~~

~~As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, “Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes” refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated reliability standard.~~

~~1.3. Data Retention~~

1.2. Evidence Retention: The following evidence retention period(s) identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the CEA may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full-time period since the last audit.

The Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, Generator Owner, Generator Operator, Transmission Operator, Transmission Owner, and Distribution Provider shall each keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation:

The Reliability Coordinator shall retain its dated, current, in force documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments for Requirement R1, Measure M1 as well as any documents in force since the last compliance audit.

The Reliability Coordinator shall keep evidence for three calendar years that it has distributed its data specification to entities that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments for Requirement R2, Measure M2.

Each Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, Generator Owner, Generator Operator, Transmission Operator, Transmission Owner, and Distribution Provider receiving a data specification shall retain evidence for the most recent 90-calendar days that it has satisfied the obligations of the documented specifications in accordance with Requirement R3 and Measurement M3.

~~The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.~~

1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program:

As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, “Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program” refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated reliability standard.

~~1.3. Additional Compliance Information~~

~~None.~~

Table of Compliance Elements Violation Severity Levels

R#	Time Horizon	VRF	Violation Severity Levels			
			Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
R1	Operations Planning	Low	The Reliability Coordinator did not include one <u>two or fewer</u> of the parts (Part 1.1 through Part 1.45) of the documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments.	The Reliability Coordinator did not include two <u>three</u> of the parts (Part 1.1 through Part 1.45) of the documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments.	The Reliability Coordinator did not include three <u>four</u> of the parts (Part 1.1 through Part 1.45) of the documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments.	The Reliability Coordinator did not include any of the parts (Part 1.1 through Part 1.45) of the documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments. OR, The Reliability Coordinator did not have a documented specification for the data necessary for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time

R#	Time Horizon	VRF	Violation Severity Levels			
			Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
						monitoring, and Real-time Assessments.
<p>For the Requirement R2 VSLs only, the intent of the SDT is to start with the Severe VSL first and then to work your way to the left until you find the situation that fits. In this manner, the VSL will not be discriminatory by size of entity. If a small entity has just one affected reliability entity to inform, the intent is that that situation would be a Severe violation.</p>						
R2	Operations Planning	Low	The Reliability Coordinator did not distribute its data specification as developed in Requirement R1 to one entity, or 5% or less of the entities, whichever is greater, that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments.	The Reliability Coordinator did not distribute its data specification as developed in Requirement R1 to two entities, or more than 5% and less than or equal to 10% of the reliability entities, whichever is greater, that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, and Real-time monitoring, and	The Reliability Coordinator did not distribute its data specification as developed in Requirement R1 to three entities, or more than 10% and less than or equal to 15% of the reliability entities, whichever is greater, that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and	The Reliability Coordinator did not distribute its data specification as developed in Requirement R1 to four or more entities, or more than 15% of the entities, whichever is greater, that have data required by the Reliability Coordinator’s Operational Planning Analyses, Real-time monitoring, and

R#	Time Horizon	VRF	Violation Severity Levels			
			Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
				Real-time Assessments.	Real-time Assessments.	Real-time Assessments.
R3	Operations Planning, Same-Day Operations, Real-time Operations	Medium	The responsible entity receiving a data specification in Requirement R2 satisfied the obligations of the documented specifications for data but failed to follow one of the criteria shown in Parts 3.1 – 3.3.	The responsible entity receiving a data specification in Requirement R2 satisfied the obligations of the documented specifications for data but failed to follow two of the criteria shown in Parts 3.1 – 3.3.	The responsible entity receiving a data specification in Requirement R2 satisfied the obligations of the documented specifications for data but failed to follow any of the criteria shown in Parts 3.1 – 3.3.	The responsible entity receiving a data specification in Requirement R2 did not satisfy the obligations of the documented specifications for data.

D. Regional Variances

None

E. Interpretations

None

F. Associated Documents

None

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	October 17, 2008	Adopted by Board of Trustees	New
1a	August 5, 2009	Added Appendix 1: Interpretation of R1.2 and R3 as approved by Board of Trustees	Addition
1a	March 17, 2011	Order issued by FERC approving IRO-010-1a (approval effective 5/23/11)	
1a	November 19, 2013	Updated VRFs based on June 24, 2013 approval	
2	April 2014	Revisions pursuant to Project 2014-03	
2	November 13, 2014	Adopted by NERC Board of Trustees	Revisions under Project 2014-03
2	November 19, 2015	FERC approved IRO-010-2. Docket No. RM15-16-000	
3	February 6, 2020	Adopted by NERC Board of Trustees	Revisions under Project 2017-07
<u>4</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>Adopted by NERC Board of Trustees</u>	<u>Revisions under Project 2019-06 Cold Weather</u>

Guidelines and Technical Basis

-

Rationale:

~~During development of this standard, text boxes were embedded within the standard to explain the rationale for various parts of the standard. Upon BOT adoption, the text from the rationale text boxes was moved to this section.~~

Rationale for Definitions:

~~Changes made to the proposed definitions were made in order to respond to issues raised in NOPR paragraphs 55, 73, and 74 dealing with analysis of SOLs in all time horizons, questions on Protection Systems and Special Protection Systems in NOPR paragraph 78, and recommendations on phase angles from the SW Outage Report (recommendation 27). The intent of such changes is to ensure that Real-time Assessments contain sufficient details to result in an appropriate level of situational awareness. Some examples include: 1) analyzing phase angles which may result in the implementation of an Operating Plan to adjust generation or curtail transactions so that a Transmission facility may be returned to service, or 2) evaluating the impact of a modified Contingency resulting from the status change of a Special Protection Scheme from enabled/in-service to disabled/out-of-service.~~

Rationale for Applicability Changes:

~~Changes were made to applicability based on IRO FYRT recommendation to address the need for UVLS and UFLS information in the data specification.~~

~~The Interchange Authority was removed because activities in the Coordinate Interchange standards are performed by software systems and not a responsible entity. The software, not a functional entity, performs the task of accepting and disseminating interchange data between entities. The Balancing Authority is the responsible functional entity for these tasks.~~

~~The Planning Coordinator and Transmission Planner were removed from Draft 2 as those entities would not be involved in a data specification concept as outlined in this standard.~~

Rationale:

Proposed Requirement R1, Part 1.1:

~~Is in response to issues raised in NOPR paragraph 67 on the need for obtaining non-BES and external network data necessary for the Reliability Coordinator to fulfill its responsibilities.~~

Proposed Requirement R1, Part 1.2:

~~Is in response to NOPR paragraph 78 on relay data.~~

Proposed Requirement R3, Part 3.3:

~~Is in response to NOPR paragraph 92 where concerns were raised about data exchange through secured networks.~~

~~Corresponding changes have been made to proposed TOP-003-3.~~

<u>3</u>	<u>October 30, 2020</u>	<u>FERC approved IRO-010-2. Docket No. RD20-4-000</u>	
<u>4</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>Adopted by NERC Board of Trustees</u>	<u>Revisions under Project 2019-06</u>