

# Meeting Notes

## Project 2019-02 BES Cyber System Information Access Management Standard Drafting Team

March 10, 2020 | 10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m. Central

Conference Call

### Administrative

#### 1. Introductions

J. Hansen (Vice Chair) greeted everyone. The following standard drafting team (SDT) members were in attendance:

	Name	Entity	<u>Yes/No</u>
<b>Chair</b>	John Hansen	Exelon	<b>Y</b>
<b>Vice Chair</b>	Josh Powers	Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP)	<b>Y</b>
<b>Members</b>	Victoria Bethley	Duke Energy	<b>N</b>
	Andrew Camargo	San Diego Gas & Electric	<b>Y</b>
	Sharon Koller	American Transmission Company, LLC	<b>Y</b>
	Michael Lewis	Southern California Edison	<b>N</b>
	Conor Martin	Arizona Public Service	<b>Y</b>
	Yoel Piney	PSEG	<b>N</b>
	Regan Plain	Minnkota Power Cooperative	<b>Y</b>
	Joshua Roper	Westar and KCP&L, Evergy Companies	<b>Y</b>
	Clay Walker	Cleco Corporate Holdings LLC	<b>N</b>
	William Vesely	Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.	<b>Y</b>

<b>PMOS Liaison(s)</b>	Colby Bellville	Cooperative Energy	<b>N</b>
	Kirk Rosener	CPS Energy	<b>N</b>
<b>NERC Staff</b>	Latrice Harkness – Senior Standards Developer	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	<b>N</b>
	Daniel Bogle – Compliance Assurance	North American Electric Reliability	<b>Y</b>
	Marisa Hecht – Legal	North American Electric Reliability	<b>Y</b>
	Lauren Perotti – Legal	North American Electric Reliability Corporation	<b>N</b>

**2. Determination of Quorum**

The rule for NERC SDT states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present. Quorum was achieved as eight of the total members were present.

**3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement**

L. Harkness reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement.

**Agenda**

**1. Implementation Plan**

The team reviewed the Implementation Plan for any changes. It was noted that some commenters suggested that the time for implementation should be 24 months instead of 18 months. The SDT still believes that 18 months is sufficient. A subgroup was selected to draft justification for the 18 months to be included in the technical rationale.

**2. Proposed Revisions**

The team reviewed a proposal for Requirement R1, Part 1.3 concerning the risk management method(s). The language breaks down more explicitly what the plan should include when using a Cloud Service Provider. The team decided to take time to think about the language and discuss at the next meeting.

It was brought up that CIP-004 Requirement R4, R5, R6 could create a “double jeopardy” compliance wise when granting and revoking access as required. The team is working on technical rationale to explain “provisioned access” to assist in resolving the compliance concern.

**3. Summary Responses**

- a. The team will continue working on assignments for the next posting.

**4. Future Meetings**

- a. March 17-19, 2020 | Face-to-Face | Evergy

**5. Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 11:59 a.m. Eastern on March 10, 2020.